



Lime Down

Solar Park

Environmental Statement

Volume 3, Appendix 12-6: Outline Archaeological Mitigation Strategy (Tracked)


~~August~~October 2026

Revision ~~2~~3

Planning Inspectorate Reference: EN010168

Document Reference: APP/6.3

APFP Regulation 5(2)(a)



Schedule of Changes

Revision	Section Reference	Description of Changes	Reason for Revision
2	Sections 3 - 8 and 11	Updates to baseline text and mitigation areas with additional information from evaluation trenching and geophysical survey. Updates to Section 4- 8 and 11 following feedback from Historic England and Wiltshire Council.	Additional information from archaeological evaluation works. To address comments provided from Historic England and Wiltshire Council.
<u>3</u>	<u>Sections 3 - 8 and 11</u>	<u>Updates to Section 4- 8 and 11 following feedback from Historic England and Wiltshire Council.</u>	<u>To address comments provided from Historic England and Wiltshire Council.</u>
	<u>Sections 3 - 8 and 11</u>	<u>Updates to Section 4- 8 and 11 following feedback Wiltshire Council.</u>	<u>To address comments provided from Wiltshire Council.</u>
	<u>Sections 3 - 8 and 11</u>	<u>Updates to Section 4- 8 and 11 following feedback from Historic England and Wiltshire Council.</u>	<u>To address comments provided from Historic England and Wiltshire Council.</u>

List of Contents

1—	Introduction	1
2—	Site Location and Description	2
2.1—	Site Location	2
2.2—	Geology and Topography	3
3—	Archaeological Baseline	4
3.1—	Introduction	4
3.2—	Lime Down A (Figure 2)	5
3.3—	Lime Down B (Figure 3)	6
3.4—	Lime Down C (Figure 4)	7
3.5—	Lime Down D (Figure 5)	9
3.6—	Lime Down E (Figure 6)	10
4—	Research Aims	11
4.1—	Aims and Objectives	11
4.2—	Research Framework	12
5—	Standards and Guidance	14
6—	Scope of Mitigation Fieldwork	15
7—	Fieldwork Methodology	23
7.1—	Personnel	23
7.2—	Project Initialisation	24
7.3—	Geophysical Survey	24
7.4—	Preservation <i>in situ</i>	26
7.5—	Mechanical Excavation	27
7.6—	Strip, Map and Sample Excavation	27
7.7—	Archaeological Monitoring	29
7.8—	Hand Excavation and Recording	30
7.9—	Finds Recovery	32
7.10—	Paleoenvironmental Sampling	33
7.11—	Human Remains	35
7.12—	Strategy Review	35
7.13—	Unexpectedly Significant or Complex Discoveries	36
8—	Post Excavation Assessment	36
8.2—	Finds Processing	36

8.3	Environmental Sample Processing	37
8.4	Human Remains Processing	38
8.5	Conservation	38
8.6	Assessment Report	38
9	Post-Excavation Analysis	40
10	Decommissioning	42
11	Archiving and Data Management	42
11.1	Archive Content	42
11.2	Data Management	44
12	Public Outreach and Engagement	45
13	Staffing	45
14	Project Timetable	46
15	Monitoring	46
16	Communication	46
17	Copyright and Publicity	47
18	Insurance and Health and Safety	47
18.1	Insurance	47
18.2	Health and Safety	48
19	References	50
	Figures	54
1	Introduction	1
2	Site Location and Description	7
2.1	Site Location	7
2.2	Geology and Topography	8
3	Archaeological Baseline	9
3.1	Introduction	9
3.2	Lime Down A (Figure 2)	9
3.3	Lime Down B (Figure 3)	10
3.4	Lime Down C (Figure 4)	12
3.5	Lime Down D (Figure 5)	13
3.6	Lime Down E (Figure 6)	14
4	Research Aims	15
4.1	Aims and Objectives	15
4.2	Research Framework	16

5	Standards and Guidance	19
6	Scope of Mitigation Fieldwork	20
7	Fieldwork Methodology	28
7.1	Personnel	28
7.2	Project Initialisation.....	29
7.3	Geophysical Survey.....	30
7.4	Preservation <i>in situ</i>	31
7.5	Mechanical Excavation	33
7.6	Strip, Map and Sample Excavation.....	34
7.7	Archaeological Monitoring	35
7.8	Hand Excavation and Recording	36
7.9	Finds Recovery	38
7.10	Paleoenvironmental Sampling	39
7.11	Human Remains.....	41
7.12	Strategy Review	42
7.13	Unexpectedly Significant or Complex Discoveries	43
8	Post-Excavation Assessment.....	43
8.2	Finds Processing.....	44
8.3	Environmental Sample Processing.....	45
8.4	Human Remains Processing	46
8.5	Conservation	46
8.6	Assessment Report	46
9	Post-Excavation Analysis	48
10	Decommissioning	50
11	Archiving and Data Management	50
11.1	Archive Content.....	50
11.2	Data Management.....	51
12	Public Outreach and Engagement.....	53
13	Staffing	53
14	Project Timetable.....	54
15	Monitoring.....	55
16	Communication.....	55
17	Copyright and Publicity	56
18	Insurance and Health and Safety	56

18.1	Insurance.....	56
18.2	Health and Safety.....	57
19	References.....	59
	Figures.....	64

List of Tables

Table 2.1.1: Details of Solar PV Sites A to E	3
Table 4.1.1: Strategic Research Objectives.....	13
Table 6.1.1: Archaeological Mitigation Strategies	17
Table 2.1.1: Details of Solar PV Sites A to E	7
Table 4.1.1: Strategic Research Objectives.....	18
Table 6.1.1: Archaeological Mitigation Strategies	22

1 Introduction

1.1.1 This Outline Archaeological Mitigation Strategy (AMS) has been prepared on behalf of Lime Down Solar Park (hereafter referred to as 'the Scheme').

1.1.2 This AMS is secured through Schedule 2, Requirement 12 of the DCO. In accordance with Requirement 12, 'Site-Specific Written Scheme of Investigation' (SSWSIs) must be prepared in accordance with this AMS and submitted to the relevant planning authority for approval prior to the commencement of the works to which they relate. In determining whether to approve a WSI, the relevant planning authority should consult Historic England. Once approved, the archaeological works will be undertaken in accordance with the relevant approved SSWSI.

1.1.3 The mitigation measures set out in this AMS will be implemented through the relevant SSWSIs, prepared and approved in accordance with Schedule 2, Requirement 12 of the DCO. The site-specific WSIs will be prepared in accordance with the principles and requirements established by this AMS and will define the detailed archaeological mitigation and recording requirements applicable to the relevant works. Archaeological mitigation may be undertaken in association with works controlled through the Permitted Preliminary Works Management Plan, Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP), Operational Environmental Management Plan (OEMP), Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) and Decommissioning Strategy (DS), as applicable. These documents, together with the AMS, form part of the suite of 'control documents' that establish the mitigation and management measures to be implemented during the design, construction, operation and decommissioning of the Project (see the Mitigation Route Map, Ref 55).

1.1.4 The implementation of each control document, and any mitigation secured through it, will be undertaken in accordance with the relevant DCO Requirement and, where applicable, in coordination with and having regard to the requirements of other relevant control documents. This will ensure that archaeological mitigation is appropriately integrated with the wider environmental management and mitigation measures for the Project.

~~4.1.21.1.5~~ 1.1.5 The Outline AMS details the overarching methodology for undertaking a programme of archaeological mitigation within the Scheme in support of an application for a Development Consent Order (DCO). Project Designs (Written Schemes of Investigation (WSI)) will be appended to the AMS for each phase of works.

1.1.6 The Outline AMS ~~will be updated following details~~ the ~~completion of the overarching methodology for undertaking a~~ programme of archaeological

~~evaluation which~~ mitigation within the Scheme in support of an application for a Development Consent Order (DCO). Project Designs (SSWSI) will be appended to the AMS for each phase of works. The results of the geophysical survey (as detailed in Section 7.3) will inform ~~decisions on the~~ need for ~~and scope of subsequent mitigation~~. The need for ~~and scope of~~ any ~~further~~ subsequent mitigation will be detailed in a SSWSI.

~~4.1.31.1.7~~ An Archaeological Management Plan (AMP) will be provided that details the methodology for the management of archaeological ~~mitigation in areas affected by the Scheme not~~ ~~trenched~~ remains during the ~~pre-application evaluation works~~. Should construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the Scheme. Where possible a single document will be provided. If this ~~be~~ is not possible a separate document will be produced for each phase of development. The AMP will identify the measures required, ~~the scope of any additional~~ to ensure that areas of archaeological ~~mitigation will be detailed in~~ remains proposed for preservation in situ are appropriately safeguarded and maintained during the lifespan of the Scheme. The AMP will also set out any required intrusive archaeological mitigation works. The AMP will seek to ensure that the archaeological remains proposed for preservation in situ remain intelligible and capable of future investigation following decommissioning of the Scheme. Accordingly, it will identify appropriate measures and management mechanisms to safeguard archaeological remains from potential impacts during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the Scheme. The AMP will be submitted to, and approved Project Design(s) in line by the relevant planning authority in consultation with ~~the mitigation methodology detailed in Section 6, and these will be attached as addendum to this overarching Outline AMS.~~ Historic England prior to the commencement of any construction works.

~~4.1.41.1.8~~ The Scheme comprises a solar photovoltaic (PV) electricity generating station of over ~~50~~100 megawatts (MW) and ‘associated development’ comprising up to 500 MW export capacity Battery Energy Storage System (BESS), grid connection infrastructure and other infrastructure integral to the construction, operation and maintenance, and decommissioning phases.

~~4.1.51.1.9~~ The Scheme sites (‘the Solar PV Sites’), Cable Route Corridor and Highway Improvement Areas are set out in Environmental Statement (ES) Volume 1, **Chapter 2: The Order Limits [EN010168/APP/6.1]** and are described in detail in ES Volume 1, **Chapter 3: The Scheme [EN010168/APP/6.1]**.

~~4.1.61.1.10~~ This Outline AMS has been informed by the results of several previous stages of archaeological desk-based assessment (**ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-2: Archaeological Desk-Based Assessments**

[EN010168/APP/6.3], aerial photographic and LiDAR interpretation (**ES Volume 3, Appendix 12- 3: Air Photo and LiDAR Mapping and Interpretation [EN010168/APP/6.3]**), a geophysical survey (**ES Volume 3, Appendix 12- 4: Archaeological Geophysical Survey Reports [EN010168/APP/6.3]**) together with a geophysical survey report covering the Cable Route Corridor (**ES Volume 3, Appendix 12- 4: Archaeological Geophysical Survey Reports [EN010168/APP/6.3]**), and an extensive programme of evaluation trenching (**ES Volume 3, Appendix 12- 5: Archaeological Evaluation Trial Trenching Reports [EN010168/APP/6.3]**). These have been produced to support the ES and are appended to that document in the following Appendices to **ES Volume 1, Appendix 12: Cultural Heritage [EN010168/APP/6.1]**. This document should be read together with the supporting documents from **ES Volume 3 [EN010168/APP/6.3]**:

- **Appendix 12-2: Archaeological Desk-Based Assessments**
- **Appendix 12-3: Air Photo and LiDAR Mapping and Interpretation**
- **Appendix 12-4: Archaeological Geophysical Survey Reports**
- **Appendix 12-5: Archaeological Evaluation Trial Trenching Reports**


4.4.71.1.11 This Outline AMS also takes into account the results of consultation and engagement undertaken with the County Archaeologist for Wiltshire Council (WC) and Historic England, throughout these stages of work, including regular meetings undertaken to monitor the progress of the evaluation trenching. The matters raised are summarised in **Table 12-2 of ES Volume 1, Appendix 12: Cultural Heritage [EN010168/APP/6.1]**.

4.4.81.1.12 The proposed mitigation strategy detailed in this Outline AMS provides for a programme of 'strip, map and sample', and archaeological monitoring, based on the location of identified archaeological remains where there is considered to be potential for such remains to be impacted by the Scheme. It also provides for preservation of archaeological remains *in situ* where possible through the use of non-intrusive construction methodology ~~(such as surface mounted pre-cast concrete ground anchors which is a standard accepted approach to removing the impact of solar mounts upon potential archaeological sub-surface remains (Ref 8))~~, and the removal of specific areas of the Scheme from any proposed development work.

1.1.13 [The mitigation measures identified within the AMS are secured through a suite of management plans that are secured through the DCO for the Scheme. These management plans form a series of 'control documents' that present the mitigation measures that will be implemented during design, construction, operation and decommissioning to reduce the](#)


adverse effects of the Scheme (see the Mitigation Route Map, Ref 55).
The discharge of each relevant detailed management plan must be undertaken in line with the associated DCO Requirement and with consideration to other relevant management plans. As part of the discharge of requirement process under Schedule 16 of the DCO, the relevant planning authority must be satisfied that the detailed plans will not give rise to materially new or materially different environmental effects to what has been assessed within the Environmental Statement. A process map showing the stages required by AMS and secured through Requirement 12 are provided in Plate 1-1 below.

Plate 13-1: Process Map



1.1.14 Roles and indicative lines of communication are detailed in Plate 1-2 below.

Plate 1-2: Roles and indicative lines of communication



2 Site Location and Description

2.1 Site Location

2.1.1 The proposed Lime Down Solar Park Scheme comprises five Solar PV Sites (Lime Down A, B, C, D and E), which are located to the south and south-west of Malmesbury and cover a combined area of approximately 749ha. The landscape within and surrounding the Solar PV Sites comprises predominantly agricultural fields and rural villages and hamlets, including Sherston (approximately 300 m north of Lime Down A), Luckington (approximately 830 m west of Lime Down C), Corston (approximately 480 m east of Lime Down D), Hullavington (approximately 700 m south of Lime Down D), and Rodbourne (approximately 150 m southeast of Lime Down E). The town of Malmesbury is located approximately 3 km northeast of Lime Down B.

2.1.2 Details of the size, location, historic and modern parishes and current land-use for each of the Solar PV Sites is provided in Table 2.1.1 below:

Table 2.1.1: Details of Solar PV Sites A to E

Solar PV Site	Area (ha)	Centroid	Historic Parish	Modern Parish	Current land-use
A	c. 94	ST 86281 84700	Sherston Magna	Sherston	Arable
B	c. 70	ST 88571 85010	Norton and Foxely	Norton	Arable
C	c. 241	ST 86198 83092	Alderton, Sherston Magna, Hullavington and Norton	Luckington, Sherston, Hullavington and Norton	Arable
D	c. 213	ST 89705 83780	Norton and Hullavington	Norton and Hullavington	Arable
E	c. 131	ST 92698 81906	St Paul Malmesbury and Great Somerford	St Paul Malmesbury Without CP	Arable and pasture

2.1.3 The Cable Route Corridor totals 462ha of largely arable land and lies within the parishes of Luckington, Sherston, Hullavington, Norton, St Paul Malmesbury Without CP, Grittleton, Yatton Keynell CP, Chippenham Without CP, Corsham and Melksham Without CP. The Highways

Improvements Areas (HIA) are sections of the highway network that will contain localised improvements.

2.2 Geology and Topography

- 2.2.1 The underlying solid geology within the west of the Solar PV Sites comprises mudstone of the *Forest Marble Formation*. Younger formations are present to the east including *Cornbrash Formation Limestone*; mudstone of the *Kellaways Clay Member*; and interbedded sandstone and siltstone of the *Kellaways Sand Member* (Ref 7).
- 2.2.2 The majority of the Scheme is recorded as not containing any recorded superficial deposits. Alluvium, consisting of clay, silt, sand and gravel occurs adjacent to extant watercourses, and discrete deposits of Head (Clay, Silt, Sand and Gravel) have been recorded in Lime Down B and C (Ref 7).
- 2.2.3 Soils vary across the Scheme and are mapped as shallow lime-rich soils over chalk or limestone (Soilscape 3), slightly acid loamy and clayey soils with impeded drainage (Soilscape 8), lime-rich loamy and clayey soils with impeded drainage (Soilscape 9), slowly permeable seasonally wet slightly acid but base-rich loamy and clayey soils (Soilscape 18), loamy and clayey floodplain soils with naturally high groundwater (Soilscape 20; Ref 31).
- 2.2.4 The topography undulates across the Solar PV Sites with a general downward slope to the east. The highest part of the Solar PV Sites is recorded at 129m above Ordnance Datum (aOD) and is located in the west of Lime Down C. The lowest point of the Solar PV Sites is recorded at 74m aOD and is located in the east of Lime Down D and centre of Lime Down F.
- 2.2.5 The South Wales Main Line railway runs on an east-west orientation between Lime Down C and E and south of Lime Down D, and is level with the natural topography in the east of the Scheme near Lime Down E. To the south-east of Lime Down D the railway line runs on a raised embankment of approximately 6m. To the southeast of Field D6 the railway line follows a cutting that gradually deepens westwards, and leads to a tunnel directly to the west of Lime Down C. The lowest point of this section of the railway line is located to the east of the tunnel between Fields C8 and C9 and is recorded at approximately 14m below ground level.
- 2.2.6 Details of the geology, soil morphology and topography for each of the Solar PV Sites, Cable Route Corridor and HIAs is provided in **Appendix 12.2**:

3 Archaeological Baseline

3.1 Introduction

- 3.1.1 The information provided below is a summary of the baseline collated for the archaeological desk-based assessments for Solar PV Sites (Ref 53), as well as the results of geophysical (gradiometer) surveys (Ref 5) and the results of air photo and LiDAR interpretation (Ref 32).

Designated Heritage Assets

- 3.1.2 There are five Scheduled Monuments within a 2km Study Area of the Order Limits, none of which are in the Order Limits itself. Five Conservation Areas are located within, or partially within, the 2km Study Area, none of which are located within the Scheme Order Limits. There are 164 Listed Buildings within the 2km Study Area, comprising four at Grade I, nine at Grade II* and the remainder at Grade II, none of which are located within the Order Limits.

Non-Designated Heritage Assets

- 3.1.3 The Wiltshire HER records 381 'monument' entries within a 2km Study Area of the Scheme, of which 43 are recorded as being within (or partially within) the Scheme Order Limits. In addition, the NRHE records 109 'monument' records within the 2km Study Area. The PAS records 190 findspots within the 2km Study Area, 21 of which are from locations within the Scheme Order Limits.

3.2 Lime Down A (Figure 2)

Geophysical Survey

- 3.2.1 An archaeological geophysical (magnetometer) survey was undertaken across Lime Down A between September 2023 and March 2025. The geophysical survey detected magnetic anomalies of agricultural origin, comprising former field boundaries, medieval/post-medieval ridge and furrow cultivation, modern ploughing and land drains. Likely archaeological anomalies were also recorded likely indicative of prehistoric and Roman activity in Fields A1, A6, A7, A9 and A10.

Air Photo and LiDAR

- 3.2.2 The Environment Agency's National LiDAR Programme Digital Terrain Model (DTM) and Digital Surface Model (DSM) data, at 1m resolution, together with a full range of vertical air photo and digital orthophotography, were used to inform a detailed programme of aerial interpretation of land within the Scheme Order Limits (Ref 32). The results are summarised below.

- 3.2.3 A small enclosure, likely of Neolithic or Bronze Age origin, was identified within Field A9, situated near a possible round barrow and a curving cropmark of uncertain date. The faint cropmark may represent the remains of a small, slightly elongated barrow or a mortuary enclosure. Additionally, a potential Iron Age or Roman enclosure with well-rounded corners was recorded in Field A7. Evidence of medieval or early post-medieval ridge and furrow, along with possible plough headlands, is present across all areas, with well-preserved ridge and furrow still extant in Field A4. Furthermore, a cluster of post-medieval extraction pits was observed within Field A9.

Evaluation trenching

- 3.2.4 Areas assessed to have archaeological potential, based on consideration of all available archaeological data, were targeted with evaluation trenches in Lime Down A, both to 'ground truth' the results of previous surveys and to provide samples of 'blank' areas, in which archaeological remains had not been identified by non-intrusive methods. Overall, there was a strong correlation between the results of the geophysical survey, aerial photographic and LiDAR interpretation, and the results of the evaluation.
- 3.2.5 Within Lime Down A, 83 trenches were excavated, and archaeological remains and features were recorded within 16 trenches (**ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-5: Archaeological Evaluation Trial Trenching Reports [EN010168/APP/6.3];** Ref 9).
- 3.2.6 In Field A1, a double ring ditch containing Iron Age pottery was confirmed, along with a square boundary enclosure in the western part of the field, which was dated to the late Iron Age to Romano-British period. In Field A2, two linear ditches were identified but remained undated; however, a curvilinear feature in the same field was confirmed and contained early prehistoric pottery. Field A3 contained a confirmed late Iron Age to early Roman enclosure, and several pits yielded late Iron Age pottery. An additional ditch in this field was dated to the prehistoric to early Roman period. In Field A6, two ditches were identified but were undated. In Field A7, an enclosure was confirmed, although no dating evidence was recovered. Field A9 contained a ring ditch with no associated dating evidence, along with five features interpreted as part of a former field system. In Field A10, an enclosure ditch with a possible entrance was confirmed, and pottery recovered from the feature suggests a prehistoric date.

3.3 Lime Down B (Figure 3)

Geophysical Survey

- 3.3.1 An archaeological geophysical (magnetometer) survey was undertaken across Lime Down between September 2023 and March 2025. The geophysical survey has mapped extensive features associated with probable Iron Age and/or Roman settlement within Fields B6, B9, B11 and B12, as well as magnetic anomalies of agricultural origin, comprising former field boundaries, medieval/post-medieval ridge and furrow cultivation, modern ploughing and land drains.

Air Photo and LiDAR

- 3.3.2 In Lime Down B, five possible round barrows, likely of Neolithic or Bronze Age origin, were identified within Fields B5, B6, B9, and two in B12. Field B12 also contains a complex of five conjoined enclosures, likely dating to the Iron Age or Roman period, suggesting a settlement. Extending outward from these enclosures are ditches, which are probably remnants of field systems or other land boundaries. In Field B5, the relationship between enclosures and ditches is less clear but may share a similar origin. Well-preserved ridge and furrow was recorded in Fields B1 and B4, while a quarry, depicted on late 19th- or early 20th-century maps, was identified in Field B1. Additionally, a network of anti-landing trenches was cut across Field B12, with a short section extending into the eastern edge of Field B6.

Evaluation trenching

- 3.3.3 Areas assessed to have archaeological potential, based on consideration of all available archaeological data, were targeted with evaluation trenches in Lime Down B, both to 'ground truth' the results of previous surveys and to provide samples of 'blank' areas, in which archaeological remains had not been identified by non-intrusive methods. Overall, there was a strong correlation between the results of the geophysical survey, aerial photographic and LiDAR interpretation, and the results of the evaluation.
- 3.3.4 Within Lime Down B, 67 trenches were excavated, and archaeological remains and features were recorded within 17 trenches (**ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-5: Archaeological Evaluation Trial Trenching Reports [EN010168/APP/6.3];** Ref 10).
- 3.3.5 In Field B5, a large pit and field boundary were excavated but contained no dating evidence. A large quarry pit was recorded in Field B6, and a sub-circular ditch confirmed the location of a possible barrow noted on the HER. However, no datable evidence was recovered and environmental evidence from this feature suggests hearth sweepings which may indicate settlement activity rather than a barrow. In Field B7, the only feature identified as a modern ditch and in Field B8 a ditch was recorded but contained no dating evidence. In Field B9, an Iron Age enclosure or field

system was recorded with prehistoric pottery and animal bone recovered from the ditches. A round barrow was also recorded, aligning with a HER record but had no dateable finds.

3.4 Lime Down C (Figure 4)

Geophysical Survey

- 3.4.1 An archaeological geophysical (magnetometer) survey was undertaken across Lime Down C between September 2023 and March 2025. Numerous rectilinear and linear anomalies have been recorded in Fields C1, C2, C3, C4, C5, C6, C7, C11, C13, C14, C31 and C36 that suggest prehistoric and/or Roman activity. Rectilinear anomalies which could represent evidence of Roman settlement have been mapped in Field C20 and correspond with a findspot of Roman pottery.

Air Photo and LiDAR

- 3.4.2 In Lime Down C, a single possible Neolithic monument was identified in Field C20. This faint U-shaped feature resembles cropmarks typically associated with levelled Neolithic long barrows, and undated flint was recovered nearby (HER ST88SE551). Possible ring ditches were also recorded in Fields C20 and C11, the latter situated adjacent to ditches that appear to define a rectilinear enclosure, tentatively dated to the Bronze Age or early Iron Age. Additional rectilinear enclosures were identified in Fields C2, C3, and C4, with ditches extending outward from the enclosure in Field C2. Well-preserved ridge and furrow is visible in Fields C6, C27, and C28, while post-medieval plough ridges were recorded in Fields C6 and C33. Small hollows observed in Fields C2, C6, C7, and C22, depicted on OS 25-inch maps published in 1923, are likely former ponds used for watering animals. In Field C20, a series of small pits, visible in late 1940s aerial photographs before being subsequently filled in, may have been impact craters associated with nearby Hullavington Airfield.

Evaluation trenching

- 3.4.3 Areas assessed to have archaeological potential, based on consideration of all available archaeological data, were targeted with evaluation trenches in Lime Down C, both to 'ground truth' the results of previous surveys and to provide samples of 'blank' areas, in which archaeological remains had not been identified by non-intrusive methods. Overall, there was a strong correlation between the results of the geophysical survey, aerial photographic and LiDAR interpretation, and the results of the evaluation.
- 3.4.4 Within Site C, 121 trenches were excavated, and archaeological remains and features were recorded within 30 trenches (**ES Volume 3, Appendix**

**12-5: Archaeological Evaluation Trial Trenching Reports
[EN010168/APP/6.3]; Ref 11).**

- 3.4.5 In Field C5, several shallow ditches and pits confirmed the location of a series of enclosures and contained pottery of a Romano-British date, the enclosures extended into Field C7. In Field C11, a square enclosure and curvilinear feature were confirmed, extending into Fields C13 and C14 and are of likely prehistoric date as it is bisected by the Fosse Way Roman Road. Three further ditches were recorded in Field C11, and two ditches were recorded in Field C13, which were dated to the Romano-British period. Within Field C14, a possible barrow was recorded as well as small pits and ditches. A small pit was recorded in Field C21 and ditches, a pit and part of a corn drying kiln were identified in Field C29, dated to the Romano-British period. A further ditch was identified in Field C29 that corresponds with agricultural trends and medieval pottery was recovered from the ditch. Romano-British enclosures were identified in Fields C30, C31 and Field C36.

3.5 Lime Down D (Figure 5)

Geophysical Survey

- 3.5.1 An archaeological geophysical (magnetometer) survey was undertaken across the Lime Down D between September 2023 and March 2025. The geophysical survey detected magnetic anomalies of agricultural origin, comprising former field boundaries, medieval/post-medieval ridge and furrow cultivation, modern ploughing and land drains. A series of linear, rectilinear and curvilinear anomalies are likely to be indicative of former prehistoric and/or Roman settlement activity.

Air Photo and LiDAR

- 3.5.2 In Lime Down D, a round barrow, likely of Neolithic or Bronze Age origin, was identified spanning Fields D8 and D9. A rectilinear enclosure was recorded in Field D1, featuring two distinct breaks in its ditch circuit, with additional detached ditches observed in Field D3. Well-preserved ridge and furrow is visible in Field D16, while Fields D13 and D14 contain a complex arrangement of ditches, which may represent the remains of a post-medieval water meadow system or a more recent drainage network. Additionally, a cluster of post-medieval extraction pits was identified in Fields D3, D19, and D22.

Evaluation trenching

- 3.5.3 Areas assessed to have archaeological potential, based on consideration of all available archaeological data, were targeted with evaluation trenches in Lime Down D, both to 'ground truth' the results of previous

surveys and to provide samples of 'blank' areas, in which archaeological remains had not been identified by non-intrusive methods. Overall, there was a strong correlation between the results of the geophysical survey, aerial photographic and LiDAR interpretation, and the results of the evaluation.

- 3.5.4 Within Lime Down D, 157 trenches were excavated, and archaeological remains and features were recorded within 33 trenches (**ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-5: Archaeological Evaluation Trial Trenching Reports [EN010168/APP/6.3];** Ref 12).
- 3.5.5 In Field D1, a square C-shaped enclosure was confirmed, containing a burnt fragment of retouched flint slug knife likely dating to the Neolithic/Bronze Age period. A boundary ditch, two further ditches possibly forming part of an enclosure, and isolated pits were also recorded. Field D3 contained a curvilinear feature, a large rectangular feature, and three possible ditches, although all were undated. In Field D6, a ditch branching from the main settlement area was confirmed, alongside a shallow ditch and three isolated linear features but contained no dating evidence. In Field D11, two small ditches forming a rectilinear enclosure were identified but undated; however, two additional ditches and a curvilinear ditch in the same field contained Iron Age pottery. A small rectilinear enclosure was also confirmed in Field D19, though this too was undated. In Field D20, three curvilinear anomalies, a further ditch, and isolated pits were confirmed; while finds were limited, a sherd of prehistoric pottery was recovered, and the features are characteristic of prehistoric activity. Field D22 contained a ditch and a pit but no dating evidence was recovered. Field D24 revealed a complex series of intercutting ditches forming a small settlement, within which a burial in an informal grave was identified. Finds from this area suggest a Romano-British date for the settlement and pottery sherds recovered from the grave fill, potentially date the grave to at least after AD 120.

3.6 Lime Down E (Figure 6)

Geophysical Survey

- 3.6.1 An archaeological geophysical (magnetometer) survey was undertaken across the Lime Down E between September 2023 and March 2025. The geophysical survey identified sparse anomalies that are considered likely to denote buried archaeological remains with results largely related to agricultural activity, geological or pedological changes in the substrata or modern activity. Subcircular anomalies were identified in Fields E14, E20 and E21 and part of a possible enclosure was identified in the west of Field E1.

Air Photo and LiDAR

- 3.6.2 In Lime Down E, no features of likely Neolithic, Bronze Age, Iron Age, or Roman origin were identified in the examined imagery. However, several banks or lynchets, formed along former field boundaries shown on historic maps, are visible in Fields E8, E12, E18, and E30. A quarry, depicted on late 19th- or early 20th-century maps, was also recorded in Field E12. Aerial photographs from 1946 show a spread of degraded hardstanding and a disorderly arrangement of aircraft in Field E18, suggesting that this area, located on the eastern edge of Hullavington Airfield, was being used as an aircraft breaking yard at the time.

Evaluation trenching

- 3.6.3 Areas assessed to have archaeological potential, based on consideration of all available archaeological data, were targeted with evaluation trenches in Lime Down E, both to 'ground truth' the results of previous surveys and to provide samples of 'blank' areas, in which archaeological remains had not been identified by non-intrusive methods. Overall, there was a strong correlation between the results of the geophysical survey, aerial photographic and LiDAR interpretation, and the results of the evaluation.
- 3.6.4 Within Lime Down E, 73 trenches were excavated, and archaeological remains and features were recorded within 16 trenches (**ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-5: Archaeological Evaluation Trial Trenching Reports [EN010168/APP/6.3];** Ref 13).
- 3.6.5 In Field E1, ditches forming a square enclosure contained Romano-British pottery and truncated ditches were recorded in Field E2 but did not contain any dating evidence. A possible barrow was recorded in Field E14, and four pits were recorded, with one containing Romano-British pottery. A small enclosure was confirmed in Field E19, while a possible barrow was recorded in Field E20 of likely prehistoric date. In Field E21, three linear ditches and another possible barrow were recorded, and a ditch and pit were recorded in Field E26 but none of the features contained any dating evidence.

4 Research Aims

4.1 Aims and Objectives

- 4.1.1 The overall aim of this Outline AMS will be to mitigate against the loss of any archaeological remains that may be impacted upon by the Scheme. Where possible, there will be a preference to conserve buried archaeological deposits through mitigation by design which will preserve them in situ (either through removal of areas from the Scheme or through non-intrusive construction methodologies such as concrete ground anchors). Where this is not achievable, mitigation by record will be

undertaken in the form of archaeological excavation and/or archaeological monitoring. This will be achieved through the following objectives:

- To establish the spatial extent, date, character, condition and significance of the archaeological activity in the proposed archaeological mitigation areas;
- To recover information relating to the nature and function of past human activity represented by the surviving archaeological remains;
- To identify areas where the conservation of archaeological features can be achieved by preservation in situ;
- Where preservation of archaeological features in situ cannot be achieved, to excavate and record identified archaeological features and deposits to a level appropriate to their extent and significance;
- To assess the potential for survival of environmental evidence;
- To interpret the nature of human activity within the Scheme and to place identified archaeological remains in their local, regional and national context as appropriate;
- Assess the site formation processes and the effects that these may have had on the survival and integrity of the archaeological features and deposits;
- Undertake sufficient post-excavation assessment to confidently interpret identified archaeological features;
- Undertake sufficient post-excavation analysis of artefacts and environmental samples to interpret their significance;
- Report and publish the results of the excavation and post-excavation analysis and place them within their local, regional and national context; and
- Compile and deposit a site archive at a suitable repository and provide information for the Wiltshire HER to ensure the long-term survival of the excavated data.

4.2 Research Framework

4.2.1 The programme of archaeological investigation has the potential to contribute to research priorities identified within the South West England Archaeological Research Framework (Ref 64), together with subsequent thematic research programmes, including the Rural Settlement of Roman Britain project (Ref 2). The mitigation strategy, sampling approaches and post-excavation analysis will seek to address both regional and Scheme-

specific research questions, informed by the results of archaeological evaluation and mitigation works.

4.2.2 The SSWSIs should have regard to available published research, where appropriate. This will include consideration of published approaches to sampling and processing of prehistoric pits (Ref 61, Ref 62 and Ref 71) and any subsequent iterations.

4.2.24.2.3 Given the size of the Scheme, it is possible that evidence may be identified that can inform the objectives of the research agenda across a wide range of strategic objectives and periods. Information acquired from baseline information collated for the Scheme and archaeological investigations undertaken to date has been used to inform the strategic objectives identified in Table 4.1.1 below. These objectives will be reviewed and updated as the archaeological works proceed. Archaeological works will also look to identify new research questions as appropriate.

Table 4.1.1: Strategic Research Objectives

Strategic Objective	Research Agenda	Project Potential
Research Themes		
Research Aim 1: Extend the use of proven methodologies for site location and interpretation and encourage the development of new techniques.	1a	A landscape approach has been taken to understanding the archaeological potential within the Scheme. Ground truthing of the features identified, through excavation, could help understand the origin, character and date of such features.
Transitions		
Research Aim 10: Enhance our understanding of key transitional periods.	10c, 10d, 10e, 10f	Evidence from archaeological evaluation works could contribute to the understanding of transitional periods.
Settlement		
Research Aim 29: Gain a more comprehensive understanding of non-villa Roman rural settlement.	29a, 29c	Evidence from archaeological evaluation works contributes to the understanding of Roman rural settlement patterns.
Food Production		
Research Aim 39: Deepen our understanding of the relationships of Neolithic and Bronze Age people to plants and animals	39d	Evidence from archaeological evaluation works could contribute to the understanding of arable activity during the Neolithic and Early Bronze Age.
Research Aim 40: Improve our understanding of agricultural intensification and diversification in later prehistory.	40a	Evidence from archaeological evaluation works could contribute to the understanding of agricultural activity during the middle Bronze Age onwards.

Strategic Objective	Research Agenda	Project Potential
Research Aim 41: Assess the impact of the Roman empire on farming.	41a	Evidence from archaeological evaluation works could contribute to the understanding of agricultural activity during the Roman period.
Research Aim 42: Improve our understanding of Medieval farming.	42a	Evidence from archaeological evaluation works could contribute to the understanding of agricultural activity during the Medieval period.
Religion		
Research Aim 54: Widen our understanding of monumentality in the Neolithic and Early Bronze Age.	54h, 54j	Evidence from archaeological evaluation works could contribute to the understanding of Neolithic and/or Bronze Age ritual and burial practice.
Mortuary Practice		
Research Aim 57: Widen our understanding of Neolithic and Early Bronze Age mortuary practice.	57a, 57e,	Evidence from archaeological evaluation works could contribute to the understanding of prehistoric burial practices.

5 Standards and Guidance

- 5.1.1 All archaeological mitigation works will be undertaken to fully meet the requirements of all nationally recognised guidance for such work, including standards laid down by the former English Heritage (now Historic England) ~~and~~, the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (CIfA) ~~and~~ [Wiltshire Council Archaeology Service Standards and Guidance for Archaeological Assessment and Fieldwork in Wiltshire and Swindon Borough](#) (Ref 1).
- 5.1.2 The programme of archaeological mitigation and post-excavation work will be managed in line with the standards laid down in the Historic England guideline publication *Management of Research Projects in the Historic Environment (MoRPHE): Project Managers Guide* (Ref 39) and the *MoRPHE Project Planning Note 3: Archaeological Excavation (PPN3)* (Ref 37), as well as to meet the requirements of Paragraph 5.9.10 of NPS

EN-1 (Ref 60) and National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF; Chapter 16: *'Conserving and enhancing the historic environment'*; Ref 59).

5.1.3 Guidance of particular relevance to the programme of works are:

- Standard and guidance for the creation, compilation, transfer and deposition of archaeological archives (Ref 14)
- Standard and Guidance for the collection, documentation, conservation and research of archaeological materials (Ref 16)
- Chartered Institute for Archaeologists Code of Conduct (Ref 18)
- Standard for field evaluation (Ref 21)
- Universal guidance for field evaluation (Ref 22)
- Standard and guidance for archaeological geophysical survey (Ref 14)
- Standard for archaeological monitoring and recording (Ref 23)
- Universal guidance for archaeological monitoring and recording (Ref 24)
- Standard for archaeological excavation (Ref 25)
- Universal guidance for archaeological excavation (Ref 26)
- Management of Research Projects in the Historic Environment: PPN3: Archaeological Excavation (Ref 37)

6 Scope of Mitigation Fieldwork

6.1.1 The programme of archaeological mitigation will comprise three main elements:

- Preservation *in situ*
- Strip, Map and Sample Excavation
- Archaeological Monitoring

6.1.2 The form of mitigation has been determined based on an assessment of the potential for archaeological remains to survive within specific areas of the Scheme based on all archaeological information obtained during previous stages of archaeological investigation, together with the assessed potential character and significance of any such remains, and the potential impact that the Scheme could have on these. The detailed methodology for undertaking the various elements of the archaeological mitigation fieldwork is provided in Section 7 of this Outline AMS. The mitigation work will be followed by a programme of post-excavation

assessment, analysis, reporting, publication and dissemination (see Sections 8 and 9).

6.1.3 The justification for the different mitigation strategies identified in Table 6.1.1 is as follows:

- **Preservation in situ (no solar development)** is proposed in areas where desk-based research and archaeological evaluation works have identified areas containing complex or extensive archaeological remains. This mitigation approach is also used in areas where other environmental constraints have been identified, for example as result of landscape mitigation measures, that require the removal of solar panels and associated infrastructure.
- **Preservation in situ (non-intrusive construction methodology)** is proposed for archaeological features that can accommodate the placement of solar panels arrays without the impact materially affecting their significance (for example linear or discrete features such as field system). The suitability of this approach is determined with consideration to the nature and sensitivity of archaeological remains in combination with the anticipated level of associated ground disturbance associated with the various elements of the proposed development. Where this mitigation option is applied, consideration will be given to the construction design methodology to minimise ground disturbance and potential disturbance to archaeological remains.
- **Preservation in situ (trenchless cabling techniques such as horizontal directional drilling - HDD)** is proposed as a precautionary mitigation measure for the section of the cable route that runs to the west of the Scheduled 'Pillow mound 280m southwest of Surrendell Farm' (NHLE: 1018610). HDD may also be used where feasible on other sections of the cable route to avoid impacts to archaeological remains. This technique will be considered in areas where there are extensive or complex archaeological remains, as well as in locations where archaeological features are situated adjacent to other landscape features, such as roads and rivers, which require the use of HDD.
- **Strip, Map and Sample Excavation** is proposed where there are unavoidable direct impacts to archaeological remains that cannot be adequately mitigated through a non-intrusive construction methodology (i.e. settlement activity). The extent of the strip, map and sample is informed by the information attained from evaluation works and the anticipated extent of impact caused by the Scheme.

- **Archaeological Monitoring** is proposed in areas where the Scheme has the potential to cause a high level of ground disturbance, but where a negligible potential for archaeological remains has been identified.

6.1.4 Archaeological mitigation strategies for specific areas are outlined in Table 6.1.1 below and the areas are marked on plan in Figures 1 to 12.

Table 6.1.1: Archaeological Mitigation Strategies

Site	Field Nos.	Mitigation Area Ref.	Archaeological Potential	Mitigation Type	Other ref	Area (ha)
Solar PV Sites						
A	A1	A1-01	Prehistoric ring ditch	In situ preservation (no solar development)	HER: None; Geophysics: A1, U1	2.49
A	A1	A1-02	Iron Age and Roman enclosure	In situ preservation (no solar development)	HER: None; Geophysics: A3	0.39
A	A1	A1-03	Prehistoric ring ditch	In situ preservation (no solar development)	HER: None; Geophysics: A2	0.07
A	A2	A2-01	Possible ring ditch	In situ preservation (non-intrusive construction methodology)	HER: None, Geophysics: U2	0.07
A	A3	A3-01	Iron Age and Roman ditches, gullies, pits and postholes	In situ preservation (non-intrusive construction methodology)	HER: None; Geophysics: P1	1.26
A	A6	A6-01	Ring ditch	In situ preservation (no solar development)	HER: MWI79622; Geophysics: A4	0.1
A	A6	A6-02	Iron Age and Roman ditches and gullies	In situ preservation (non-intrusive construction methodology)	HER: None; Geophysics: U3	0.61
A	A7	A7-01	Iron Age and Roman ditch	In situ preservation (non-intrusive)	HER: ST88SE617;	0.48

Site	Field Nos.	Mitigation Area Ref.	Archaeological Potential	Mitigation Type	Other ref	Area (ha)
				construction methodology)	Geophysics: A5, A6	
A	A9	A9-01	Prehistoric ring ditch	In situ preservation (non-intrusive construction methodology)	HER: None; Geophysics: A7	0.04
A	A9	A9-02	Iron Age and Roman ditches, gullies, pits and postholes	In situ preservation (non-intrusive construction methodology)	HER: None, Geophysics: None	0.11
A	A10	A10-01	Iron Age and Roman ditch, gully and drain	In situ preservation (non-intrusive construction methodology)	HER: None; Geophysics: A8	0.6
A	A10	A10-02	Iron Age and Roman ditch	In situ preservation (non-intrusive construction methodology)	HER: None; Geophysics: None	0.2
B	B6	B6-01	Prehistoric ring ditch	In situ preservation (non-intrusive construction methodology)	HER: ST88NE607; Geophysics: A14	0.07
B	B9	B9-01	Prehistoric round barrow	Strip, Map and Sample	HER: MWI64495; Geophysics: A18	0.18
B	B9	B9-02	Iron Age and Roman enclosure and ditch	In situ preservation (non-intrusive construction methodology)	HER: MWI44994; Geophysics: A16	0.71
B	B9	B9-03	Iron Age and Roman ditch	In situ preservation (non-intrusive construction methodology)	HER: None; Geophysics: A17	0.06
B	B12	B12-01	Iron Age and Roman settlement	In situ preservation (no solar development)	HER: ST88NE637; Geophysics: A20, A21	17.67
C	C1	C1-01	Iron Age and Roman settlement features	In situ preservation (no	HER: MWI79650, MWI2558, MWI79651;	29.83

Site	Field Nos.	Mitigation Area Ref.	Archaeological Potential	Mitigation Type	Other ref	Area (ha)
				solar development)	Geophysics: A22, A30, P5, P6, P7, U7	
C	C5	C5-01	Roman settlement features	In situ preservation (non-intrusive construction methodology)	HER: ST88SE627; Geophysics: A30	2.26
C	C11	C11-01	Prehistoric and Roman ring ditch and square enclosure	In situ preservation (non-intrusive construction methodology)	HER: MWI79184; Geophysics: A31, A32	1.39
C	C11	C11-02	Roman ditch	In situ preservation (non-intrusive construction methodology)	HER: None; Geophysics: P8	0.06
C	C11	C11-03	Prehistoric ditches	In situ preservation (non-intrusive construction methodology)	HER: None; Geophysics: P8	0.02
C	C13	C13-01	Prehistoric ditch	Strip, Map and Sample	HER: None; Geophysics: None	0.06
C	C14	C14-01	Ring ditch	In situ preservation (non-intrusive construction methodology)	HER: MWI79655; Geophysics: A34	0.08
C	C29	C29-01	Possible Roman Kiln	Strip, Map and Sample	HER: None; Geophysics: None	0.11
C	C30	C30-01	Roman settlement features	In situ preservation (non-intrusive construction methodology)	HER: None; Geophysics: A26, A27, A28	2.62
C	C36	C36-01	Iron Age and Roman enclosure	In situ preservation (non-intrusive construction methodology)	HER: MWI79654; Geophysics: A36	0.38
C	C36	C36-02	Prehistoric ring ditch	In situ preservation (non-intrusive	HER: MWI79656; Geophysics: A37	0.05

Site	Field Nos.	Mitigation Area Ref.	Archaeological Potential	Mitigation Type	Other ref	Area (ha)
				construction methodology)		
D	D1	D1-01	Prehistoric ditched enclosure	In situ preservation (non-intrusive construction methodology)	HER: None; Geophysics: A43	1.86
D (BESS Area)	D1	D1-02	Iron Age and Roman ditches and pits	Strip, Map and Sample	HER: None; Geophysics: A43	3.96
D	D3	D3-01	Prehistoric ring ditch	In situ preservation (non-intrusive construction methodology)	HER: MWI79178; Geophysics: A44	0.27
D	D6	D6-01	Iron Age and Roman ditches	In situ preservation (non-intrusive construction methodology)	HER: ST88SE612; Geophysics: A45	0.22
D	D6	D6-02	Iron Age and Roman settlement	In situ preservation (no solar development)	HER: ST88SE612; Geophysics: A45	5.63
D	D8	D8-01	Prehistoric ring ditch	In situ preservation (no solar development)	HER: MWI79204; Geophysics: A46	0.12
D	D11	D11-01	Iron Age and Roman ditch and pits	In situ preservation (no solar development)	HER: None; Geophysics: A47	0.16
D	D11	D11-02	Iron Age ditch and pits	In situ preservation (no solar development)	HER: None; Geophysics: A48	0.06
D	D11	D11-03	Iron Age ditch and pits	In situ preservation (non-intrusive construction methodology)	HER: None; Geophysics: A47	0.27
D	D20	D20-01	Prehistoric ring ditches	In situ preservation (non-intrusive construction methodology)	HER: MWI79672; Geophysics: A40, A41, A42	0.81

Site	Field Nos.	Mitigation Area Ref.	Archaeological Potential	Mitigation Type	Other ref	Area (ha)
D	D21	D21-01	Ring ditch	In situ preservation (non-intrusive construction methodology)	HER: MWI79676; Geophysics: A39	0.01
D	D24	D24-01	Iron Age and Roman Features and burial	Strip, Map and Sample	HER: MWI79674; Geophysics: A38	2.0
E	E1	E1-01	Iron Age and Roman postholes, pits and ditch	In situ preservation (non-intrusive construction methodology)	HER: None; Geophysics: P15	0.58
E	E12	E12-01	Ridge and furrow	Re-instatement of earthworks following construction	HER: None; Geophysics: None	1.4
E	E14	E14-01	Ring ditch	In situ preservation (non-intrusive construction methodology)	HER: MWI79688; Geophysics: A49	0.04
E	E14	E14-02	Roman ditches	In situ preservation (non-intrusive construction methodology)	HER: None; Geophysics: None	0.16
E	E20-21	E20-01	Prehistoric ring ditch	In situ preservation (non-intrusive construction methodology)	HER: MWI79686; Geophysics: A50, A51	0.21
E	E21	E21-01	Prehistoric ring ditch	In situ preservation (non-intrusive construction methodology)	HER: MWI79685; Geophysics: A52	0.03
Cable Route Corridor:						
Cable Route Corridor	F86 F90 F99 F100 F117 F143	CR-G	-	Geophysical Survey followed by targeted Strip, Map and Sample as required in area of impact	-	-

Site	Field Nos.	Mitigation Area Ref.	Archaeological Potential	Mitigation Type	Other ref	Area (ha)
	F146					
Cable Route Corridor	B5	B5-01				
	F23	F23-01				
	F25	F25-01				
	F34	F34-01				
	F35	F34-02				
	F37	F35-01				
	F46	F37-01				
	F55	F46-01				
	F58	F55-01				
	F64	F58-01				
	F66	F66-01				
	F68	F68-01				
	F71	F68-02	Bronze Age round barrow	Strip, Map and Sample or in situ preservation trenchless cabling techniques (such as horizontal directional drilling (HDD))	HER: MWI73948, ST86NE304, ST87SE455	
	F78	F71-01			ST86NE603;	
	F80	F78-01	Iron Age/Roman features		ST87SE611, ST87SE612, ST88NE302, ST88NE636	
	F85	F80-01	Fosse Way Roman Road		Geophysics: A3, A7, A8, A10, A11, A12, A13, A16 – A26, P12, P17, P18, P20 - P25, P31 – P32, P43 - P49, U18-U20, U23	
	F96	F85-01				
	F102	F96-01	Medieval settlement			
	F106	F102-01				
	F113	F106-01				
	F114	F113-01				
	F116	F114-01				
	F120	F116-01				
	F121	F120-01				
	F123	F121-01				
	F135	F123-01				
	F153	F135-01				
	F154	F153-01				
	F163	F154-01				
	O48	F163-01				
		O48-01				
Cable Route Corridor	F64	F64-01	Iron Age and Roman Features	In situ preservation trenchless cabling techniques (such as horizontal directional drilling (HDD)), or Strip, Map and Sample	Geophysics: A15, A16, P33 - P42 NHLE: 1018610	-
	F120	F120-01	Land to the west of the Pillow mound 280m south west of Surrendell Farm			

Site	Field Nos.	Mitigation Area Ref.	Archaeological Potential	Mitigation Type	Other ref	Area (ha)
			Iron Age/Roman features	depending on final design		
Cable Route Corridor	F5 F22	F5-01 F22-01	Ridge and Furrow earthworks	Re-instatement of earthworks following construction	HER: MWI73999, MWI73895, ST86NE453	-
Cable Route Corridor / Access Routes	-	Full length of all access routes not following existing tracks	Low archaeological potential	Archaeological Monitoring followed by targeted Strip, Map and Sample as required	-	-
Other Infrastructure:						
Temporary Construction Compound	F37 F68 F85	F37-01 F68-01 F85-01	Possible Iron Age/Roman features	Strip, Map and Sample	HER: ST87SE612, MWI73948 Geophysics: A11, A19, P23, P24, U9	
<u>Substations</u>	-	-	<u>Low archaeological potential</u>	<u>Archaeological Monitoring</u>	-	-
Construction Compound	-	-	Low archaeological potential	Archaeological Monitoring	-	-
Directional drilling access pits	-	-	Low archaeological potential	Archaeological Monitoring	-	-

7 Fieldwork Methodology

7.1 Personnel

- 7.1.1 The archaeological mitigation works, and post-excavation assessment and analysis of the results, will be undertaken by suitably qualified and experienced professional archaeological contractors, that will adhere to the ClfA Code of Conduct (Ref 18) and all appropriate standards and guidance ([Ref 20](#), Ref 14 [to Ref 21](#), Ref 16 [and Ref 22](#), Ref 21 [to](#) Ref 26).
- 7.1.2 Details of the CVs of the appointed contractor's key personnel and specialists will be provided to the Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority in advance of the commencement of fieldwork, following appointment of the archaeological contractor. The appointed archaeological contractor's Project Manager for the project must be able to demonstrate competence and experience of managing archaeological projects of a similar size, nature and complexity. The appointed

archaeological contractor will ensure they have the required capacity to deliver the works.

7.2 Project Initialisation

7.2.1 The Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority will be informed at least ~~one week~~two weeks in advance of the commencement of any fieldwork, or stages of fieldwork, within the Scheme.

7.2.2 A scheme-wide sampling strategy will be developed prior to the production of SSWSI that will be agreed with Wiltshire Council in consultation with Historic England. The scheme-wide sampling strategy will establish the overarching principles for archaeological sampling across the Scheme and be aimed at addressing research aims and objectives. Each SSWSI will develop site-specific sampling strategies that are in accordance with the scheme-wide sampling strategy. The scheme-wide sampling strategy will be appended to all SSWSIs.

7.2.3 A consistent Scheme-wide approach to the identification, sampling, excavation and recovery of archaeological finds, deposits and features will be established, where appropriate prior to the production of SSWSIs. All SSWSIs will follow the identified Scheme-wide approach to ensure generated datasets across different sites, interventions and archaeological contractors will be comparable and capable of integrated interpretation. Where a variance to the sampling strategy is required for any individual archaeological site, this will be established in response to the nature, significance, preservation and research potential of the finds, features and deposits identified. Any variation to the scheme wide sampling approach will be developed and implemented following consultation with an appropriate specialist, the archaeological advisor to the local planning authority and the Historic England Science Advisor as appropriate. SSWSIs will specify, where appropriate, any specific approaches required to meet the objectives of the proposed works with consideration to Historic England guidance and industry best practice.

7.2.27.2.4 Prior to the commencement of archaeological fieldwork, the appointed archaeological contractor will familiarise themselves with all existing documentation and reports relating to previous stages of archaeological investigation within the Scheme and any other relevant documents as necessary.

7.2.37.2.5 The appointed archaeological contractor will be provided with all available information relating to health and safety within the Order Limits, including any mapped utilities and any other constraints that may affect the mitigation works.

7.2.47.2.6 All works will be archived under the accession number obtained from the appropriate archives. The appointed archaeological contractors will complete all archive deposition forms as required.

7.2.57.2.7 Before fieldwork commences, an Online Access to the Index of archaeological investigations (OASIS) online record will be initiated, and key fields completed on Details, Location and Creator forms (Ref 1).

7.3 Geophysical Survey

7.3.1 Geophysical Survey is proposed along sections of the Grid Connection Corridor that have not previously been subject to geophysical survey. The survey area will comprise a minimum 30m corridor along the final route of the grid connection cable.

7.3.2 The geophysical survey will comprise a magnetic survey technique. Magnetic surveys are generally considered to be the most cost-effective and successful technique for identifying a wide range of different archaeological features. Recent magnetic geophysical surveys undertaken for the Scheme Sites have successfully detected potential human activity which appears to date from a range of different time periods and have been successfully 'ground-truthed' through targeted archaeological evaluation trenching. It is therefore considered that there is a high potential that the natural soils and types of archaeological features within the Cable Route Corridor are conducive to a magnetic survey technique.

7.3.3 All survey work will be completed to appropriate standards, as outlined by professional guidelines (Ref 14, Ref 21, Ref 22 and Ref 63). All survey works will be completed by appropriately experienced operators working in line with the ClfA Code of Conduct (Ref 18).

7.3.4 The magnetometer survey will be undertaken using an appropriate magnetometer system (either cart-based or handheld) with a resolution no coarser than 1m by 0.25m. All data should be located using Real Time Kinematic (RTK) differential GPS equipment with a positional accuracy of $\pm 0.1\text{m}$.

7.3.5 The geophysical survey should cover all accessible land. Where areas are not considered suitable for survey this will be justified in the final report.

7.3.6 Data will be regularly downloaded and transferred to a desk-top computer for processing, visualisation, interpretation and archiving. Data plots will be made available by the Applicant's archaeological contractors on a weekly basis, or as areas are completed.

- 7.3.7 Before and after photos will be taken of each area surveyed. The contractor will ensure that all materials brought onto the site are removed at the end of survey, and that no damage has been caused to the site.
- 7.3.8 A control grid of 30x30m (handheld collection) or block of traverses of an area no less than 900m² (cart-based survey) will be repeated over the same area at the start and end of the survey to demonstrate the consistency and reliability of the geophysical survey data. The same processes, if required, will be applied to the repeat data. Plots of the data will be presented in an appendix of the final report.
- 7.3.9 Data will be checked on site daily to identify any issues. Where issues are identified, the data will be recollected.
- 7.3.10 Instruments will be correctly set up prior to data collection to minimise the level of post survey processing. In particular, instruments will be correctly calibrated for the duration of the survey to ensure minimal destripping or zero-mean traverse is required.
- 7.3.11 Data processing and interpretation will be undertaken by a competent geophysicist who is experienced in the characterisation of magnetic anomalies.
- 7.3.12 Digital records of the geophysical survey will be appropriately packaged for long-term storage in accordance with national guidelines (Ref 14 and Ref 63). The digital archive will be produced using industry standard file formats, with a clear file structure that allows these to be easily shared with all stakeholders.
- 7.3.13 Georeferenced greyscale plots of the data and interpretation layers as either georeferenced raster images (i.e. GeoTIFF/ Geo PNG), shapefiles or in dwg format will be made available and issued to the HERs within three months of the completion of the project.
- 7.3.14 Digital copies of reports will be deposited on OASIS and submitted to the appropriate HERs within three months of the completion of the project.
- 7.3.15 The results of the geophysics survey will inform the need for and scope of subsequent mitigation. Depending on the features identified and the final Scheme design it is anticipated that mitigation if required will comprise either mitigation either in the form of preservation in situ (either through directional drilling or micrositing) or strip, map and sample excavation. The need for and scope of any subsequent mitigation will be detailed in a SSWSI that is agreed with the local planning authority.

7.4 Preservation *in situ*

- 7.4.1 Where possible, areas of potentially extensive or significant archaeological remains will be preserved *in situ*.

- 7.4.2 There are eight areas containing probable extensive remains identified by non-intrusive survey within the Scheme which will be excluded from any development (see Table 6.1.1 above and Figures 2 to 6). The management of these excluded areas is detailed in the **Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan [EN010168/APP/7.12]**.
- 7.4.3 A further 37 areas (Figure 1) have been identified within the Lime Down Solar PV Sites through non-intrusive investigations and confirmed by evaluation trenching (Ref 9, Ref 10, Ref 11, Ref 12, Ref 13), as containing archaeological features. Although impacts on any such remains from the Solar PV Mounting Structures would be limited, the potential for any impact will be mitigated through the use of non-intrusive construction methodology (such as [low impact piling solution that may include](#) surface mounted pre-cast concrete ground anchors, which is a standard accepted approach to removing the impact of Solar PV Mounting Structures upon potential archaeological sub-surface remains (Ref 8), or through locating piles to avoid archaeology or cause minimal disturbance. The use of a non-intrusive methodology will depend on the final design. If a non-intrusive methodology is deemed unsuitable, a strip map and sample approach will be considered as a suitable alternative in accordance with the final design.
- 7.4.4 Trenchless cabling techniques (such as horizontal directional drilling (HDD)) will be employed ~~beneath mitigation area F120 to the west of the Cable Route Corridor (see Figure 8), in the~~ location of a Scheduled Monument (NHLE: 1018610). ~~The~~; [see Figure 8](#)). ~~Where employed, the~~ depth of the directional drilling will be ~~informed by the depth of archaeological remains identified within post determination evaluation trenches, in order~~ [undertaken at a sufficient level](#) to avoid any impacts on potential buried archaeological deposits. [Boreholes will be undertaken, if required, to identify the depth of any archaeological remains where either shallow HDD is proposed \(i.e. less than 3m\) or there is a potential for deeply stratified archaeological remains to be present.](#) If the final design does not allow for trenchless cabling techniques (such as HDD), a Strip, Map and Sample [approach](#) will be employed. ~~(see Section 7.6).~~
- 7.4.5 [An AMP will be provided in advance of the construction phase that details the methodology for preservation in situ of archaeological remains. Where possible a single document will be provided that covers all stages of development \(i.e. construction, operation and decommissioning\). THE AMP will include the approaches required to maintain archaeological avoidance areas and implement non-intrusive construction methodologies. The AMP will be devised with consideration to the preservation conditions of archaeological remains as identified through archaeological evaluation works \(Ref 9 to Ref 13\).](#)

7.4.6 The AMP will seek to ensure that the archaeological resource remains appropriately safeguarded, intelligible and recoverable following decommissioning of the Scheme. As such it will consider suitable mechanisms that may be needed during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases to ensure the safeguarding of archaeological remains.

7.5 Mechanical Excavation

- 7.5.1 Topsoil or overburden across the mitigation excavation areas (see Table 6.1.1 above) will be stripped using 360° tracked excavators fitted with a toothless, flat bladed, grading bucket, down to the first significant archaeological horizon or natural sub-soil.
- 7.5.2 All mechanical excavation will be undertaken under direct archaeological supervision, by a suitably experienced and qualified archaeologist, with one archaeologist responsible for monitoring each excavator.
- 7.5.3 All areas of excavation will be scanned with a Cable Avoidance Tool (CAT) and Genny, as appropriate, prior to ground works commencing. Necessary measures will be taken to avoid disturbing any services.
- 7.5.4 Mechanical excavators will work backwards from the starting point of the excavation to avoid tracking over stripped areas.
- 7.5.5 Mechanical excavators and other plant will not track or drive over an area that has been stripped until an archaeologist has confirmed that no archaeological remains are present, or that any features have been fully archaeologically recorded.
- 7.5.6 The stripped surface will be kept clean and free of loose spoil until fully archaeologically investigated and recorded.
- 7.5.7 If required, areas of archaeological remains will be fenced-off to prevent accidental damage.
- 7.5.8 Spoil from mechanical excavation will be scanned by eye and by metal detector to aid the recovery of finds.
- 7.5.9 Topsoil and subsoil will be stored separately. Excavated topsoil will be redeposited at a location to be determined in agreement with the principal contractor and the Applicants. All spoil will be stored and managed safely in line with the standards of the *Construction Code of Practice for Sustainable Use of Soils on Construction Sites* (Ref 33).
- 7.5.10 Where depth of excavation is required to be greater than 1m, suitable stepping will be employed and if required, shuttering.

7.6 Strip, Map and Sample Excavation

- 7.6.1 'Strip, Map and Sample' excavation will be employed where archaeological evaluation has identified potential archaeological remains but based on current evidence, these do not appear to be extensive or potentially significant enough to warrant Open-Area excavation.
- 7.6.2 There are 5 areas containing archaeological remains within the Solar PV Sites, identified through evaluation trenching (see Figures 2 to 6) in which 'Strip, Map and Sample' excavation will be employed. These areas either contain significant archaeological remains or are located where extensive groundworks are proposed, such as for substations or the BESS.
- 7.6.3 There are 19 areas containing probable archaeological remains identified by non-intrusive survey within the Cable Route Corridor (see Figures 7 to 12) in which 'Strip, Map and Sample' excavation will be employed. This will be reviewed following the final design for the Scheme and trenchless cabling techniques (such as HDD) may be employed as a suitable alternative to Strip, Map and Sample (see Section 7.3).
- 7.6.4 ~~Geophysical survey has only been completed on 275 ha of the Cable Route Corridor; it is intended for survey to be completed in autumn 2025 and the Outline AMS will be updated accordingly.~~ If archaeological features are identified following the completion of geophysical survey detailed in Section 7.3, archaeological mitigation will be proposed in the form of either HDD or Strip, Map and Sample.
- 7.6.5 Following machine topsoil excavation, a pre-excavation plan of identified potential archaeological features will be produced. This plan will be used to agree an excavation sampling strategy with the Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority, in order to decide which features, require hand excavation and the 'sample' of how much of these features should be excavated.
- 7.6.6 An indicative sampling strategy is provided below, but if archaeological remains are identified of either a lesser or greater extent / significance than anticipated, this may be subject to a change in scope following liaison with the Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority.
- 100% excavation of all stake-holes;
 - 100% excavation of all structural, funerary or ritual features;
 - 100% excavation of all post-holes and pits with a diameter of less than 0.4m;
 - 50% excavation of pits between 0.4m and 1.5m in diameter;

- 25% excavation of pits with a diameter of over 1.5m. This will include a complete section across the pit to recover its full profile;
- 10% excavation of all linear features, up to 5m in length; and
- Reduced percentage excavation of longer linear features, to be agreed with the Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority.

- 7.6.7 All archaeological features and deposits revealed will be cleaned and excavated by hand in an archaeologically controlled and stratigraphic manner, in order to establish their extent, form, date, function and relationship to other features. All features will be investigated to understand the full stratigraphic sequence down to naturally occurring deposits.
- 7.6.8 Any excavation, by machine or by hand, will be undertaken with a view to avoiding damage to any archaeological features or deposits which appear to be demonstrably worthy of preservation in situ. No machine excavation of archaeological deposits or features will be undertaken without agreement from the Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority.
- 7.6.9 There will be sufficient excavation to give clear evidence for the period, depth and nature of any archaeological deposit. The depth and nature of colluvial or other masking deposits will be established across the site.
- 7.6.10 During the Strip, Map and Sample excavation, where it has been established that areas of the site under investigation do not contain archaeological remains, these areas will be signed-off to allow for construction groundworks to proceed, following agreement with the Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority.
- 7.6.11 Following excavation and recording of any archaeological remains, and with the agreement of the Archaeological Advisor(s) to the relevant Local Planning Authority(s), the stripped area will either be backfilled or released for construction phase of the proposed development works in agreement with the client.

7.7 Archaeological Monitoring

- 7.7.1 Archaeological monitoring (a 'watching brief') ~~will~~may be undertaken on specific areas of groundworks (e.g. the cable route, access roads where these require intrusive groundworks) and where topsoil stripping is required as part of the construction process (e.g. battery storage areas, sub-stations, construction compounds, drilling access pits etc.).
- 7.7.2 All topsoil or overburden stripping across these areas will be undertaken using 360° tracked excavators fitted with toothless, flat bladed, grading

buckets, down to the first significant archaeological horizon or natural sub-soil. All machine stripping will be undertaken in line with the methodology in paragraphs 7.4.1 to 7.4.10 of this Outline AMS.

- 7.7.3 A suitably qualified and experienced archaeologist will monitor groundworks in the specified areas and record any features in line with the recording methodology for excavation detailed above. The archaeological monitoring of construction groundworks will include the following:
- archaeological inspection of overburden / topsoil removal;
 - inspection of subsoil for archaeological features; and
 - excavation, recording and environmental sampling of features necessary to determine their date and character.
- 7.7.4 The principal contractor, or any other groundworks contractors operating on site, will allow sufficient time for any archaeological features to be excavated, sampled and recorded to meet the requirements of this Outline AMS.
- 7.7.5 Every effort will be made to implement the archaeological monitoring without affecting the construction timetable, however, some limited suspension of groundworks in specific areas of the Scheme under investigation may be required in order to record and sample any archaeological evidence uncovered (in line with the 'Strip, Map and Sample' methodology provided in this Outline AMS). The length of stoppage time will be determined by the nature of archaeological features or deposits identified.
- 7.7.6 Where it can be demonstrated that survival conditions are such that archaeological potential is negligible, the Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority will be informed and, as agreed, the archaeological monitoring suspended in specific areas.
- 7.7.7 The results of the archaeological monitoring will be fully integrated with results of the excavation stage and the overall post-excavation assessment and analysis.

7.8 Hand Excavation and Recording

- 7.8.1 All archaeological features and deposits revealed will be excavated by hand in an archaeologically controlled and stratigraphic manner, in order to establish their extent, form, date, function and relationship to other features.
- 7.8.2 All features will be investigated to understand the full stratigraphic sequence down to naturally occurring deposits. Where depth of

excavation is required to be greater than safe working depth, suitable stepping will be employed, and if required, shuttering. SSWSIs will include an appropriate strategy for excavation and sampling of features to their full depth if this is beyond a safe working limit.

~~7.8.31.1.1 A consistent Scheme wide approach to the identification, sampling, excavation and recovery of archaeological finds, deposits and features will be established, where appropriate prior to the production of SSWSIs. All SSWSIs will follow the identified Scheme wide approach to ensure generated datasets across different sites, interventions and archaeological contractors will be comparable and capable of integrated interpretation. Where a variance to the sampling strategy is required for any individual archaeological site, this will be established in response to the nature, significance, preservation and research potential of the finds, features and deposits identified. Any variation to the scheme wide sampling approach will be developed and implemented following consultation with an appropriate specialist, the archaeological advisor to the local planning authority and the Historic England Science Advisor as appropriate. SSWSIs will specify, where appropriate, any specific approaches required to meet the objectives of the proposed works with consideration to Historic England guidance and industry best practice.~~

7.8.47.8.3 Metal detector searches will take place at all stages of the mitigation fieldwork, over archaeological features and excavated spoil in accordance with the Historic England guidance *Our Portable Past. Guidance for Good Practice* (Ref 45). Any metal finds will be located using survey-grade GPS and metal detectors will be set not to discriminate against iron. Metal detecting will also be conducted over the surface of all exposed features before the end of each working day as a countermeasure to 'nighthawking'.

7.8.57.8.4 The stripped surface will be kept clean and free of loose spoil until fully archaeologically investigated and recorded. Wherever possible, spoil arising during hand-cleaning and hand-excavation will be piled beyond the limits of excavation.

7.8.67.8.5 A full written, drawn and photographic record will be made of all features revealed during the course of the archaeological mitigation works.

7.8.77.8.6 All archaeological features or deposits encountered will be described fully on pro-forma individual context recording sheets, using standard methods of the archaeological contractor appointed.

7.8.87.8.7 Plans will be completed at a scale of 1:20 with a site plan at 1:100 (as appropriate), with section drawings at a scale of 1:10. All plans will be tied in with the Ordnance Survey National Grid with levels given to above OD using cm accurate survey grade GPS equipment.

~~7.8.9~~7.8.8 A photographic record, utilising high resolution digital photography of a minimum of 12 megapixels and in RAW format, will be maintained during the course of the fieldwork and recorded in a photographic register. This will include:

- the site prior to commencement of fieldwork;
- the site during work, showing specific stages of fieldwork;
- the layout of archaeological features within the site;
- individual features and, where appropriate, their sections; and
- groups of features where their relationship is important.

~~7.8.10~~7.8.9 All photography will follow industry best practice (Ref 40). Images will be converted to uncompressed baseline v.6 TIFF for archiving. All images will have accompanying metadata specifying; photo ID, capture device, converting software, colour space, bit depth, resolution, date of capture, photographer, caption, and any alterations made to the image.

7.9 Finds Recovery

7.9.1 All identified finds will be collected and retained and bagged and labelled according to their context. Finds of significant interest will be given a 'special finds' number, and information on their location in three dimensions will be entered on a separate pro-forma sheet.

7.9.2 No finds will be discarded without assessment by an appropriate finds specialist, and/or the approval of the Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority.

~~7.9.3~~ 7.9.3 Unless identified as having an archaeological interest (for instance material related to 20th century military activity), it is anticipated that unstratified 20th and 21st century material will be noted, spot dated as required, and ~~discarded~~.

~~7.9.4~~7.9.3 ~~All discarded~~ All finds and samples will be treated in a proper manner during the excavation stage. Finds will be exposed, lifted, bagged, conserved and stored in accordance with the guidelines set out in *the CIfA guidelines Standard and Guidance for the collection, documentation, conservation and research of archaeological materials* (Ref 16).

~~7.9.5~~7.9.4 The provisions of the Treasure Act 1996 (as amended) (Ref 65), and the Treasure (Designation) Order 2002 (Ref 66) will be followed with regard to any finds that might fall within its purview. All finds of gold and silver, and associated objects, will be reported to the coroner according to the procedures under the Treasure Act 1996 (and the Act's amendment of 2003 to include prehistoric objects such as Bronze Age metalworking hoards and other non-precious metal items), after discussion with the

Applicant, the landowner, the Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority and the Finds Liaison Officer.

~~7.9.6~~7.9.5 Site specific sampling strategies will consider deposits or residues containing metal fragments and debris in line with Historic England guidance *Archaeometallurgy Guidelines for Best Practice* (Ref 41).

~~7.9.7~~7.9.6 Provision will be made for the appropriate recovery and handling of pottery vessels that are identified with preserved calcified deposits, charred residues or other adherent deposits. An appropriate specialist will be consulted as required. The sampling and recovering of materials will be undertaken in line with Historic England guidance *Organic Residue Analysis and Archaeology Guidance for Good Practice* (Ref 44).

~~7.9.8~~7.9.7 Where relevant, SSWSIs will include a strategy for the identification, excavation, recording and recovery of lithic scatters. The approach will be informed by the results of the archaeological evaluation and will be proportionate to the character, extent, significance and preservation of the resource encountered with consideration to the impact of the development.

7.10 Paleoenvironmental Sampling

7.10.1 The paleoenvironmental sampling strategy will be identified by a relevant specialist prior to each stage of works with consideration to identifying a targeted approach that links to site-specific aims and objectives. In line with Historic England's guidelines *Environmental Archaeology - A Guide to the Theory and Practice of Methods, from Sampling and Recovery to Post-excavation (third edition)* (Ref 51), the sampling strategy will be aimed at identifying:

- the nature of biological remains present;
- the preservation of identified remains;
- any patterns in concentration and distribution; and
- the significance of identified remains.

7.10.2 The SSWSIs will include an geoarchaeological and palaeoenvironmental sampling strategy that is focused on identifying a targeted approach that links to site-specific aims and objectives. The sampling strategy for each site will be identified with consideration to information attained from the evaluation trial trenching works (Ref 9 to Ref 13).

7.10.2—The SSWSIs will identify appropriate sampling strategies that follow a targeted research driven approach. Soil samples will be taken from all suitable features or deposits for palaeoenvironmental sampling. This will comprise the removal considered to have a geoarchaeological or

paleoenvironmental potential that could contribute to research objectives. Sample selection, type and volume will be informed by the nature of a bulk sample from every archaeological remains and their preservation. Bulk samples will be removed from securely sealed and hand-excavated ~~context~~contexts, excepting those with excessive levels of residuality or those with minimal 'soil' content (such as building rubble).

- 7.10.3 Consideration will be given to the reason for the sample being taken to avoid unnecessary sampling. Bulk samples will comprise representative 40 litre samples, or more if appropriate. Where a context does not yield 40 litres of material, smaller samples will be taken (generally the maximum amount of material that it is practicable to collect). Bulk samples will be used to recover a sub-sample of charred macroplant material, faunal remains and artefacts. Suitable deposits will also be sampled for industrial residues.
- 7.10.4 If buried soils or other deposits are encountered, column samples may be taken for micromorphological and pollen analysis. Environmental material will be stored in controlled environments and specialists will be consulted during the course of the work as necessary.
- 7.10.5 Depending on the nature of deposits being sampled, a qualified and experienced palaeoenvironmental specialist will be consulted. If required they will undertake site visits to discuss the sampling strategy and assist in any required fieldwork. The advice of the Historic England Regional Science Advisor will be sought as appropriate.
- 7.10.6 Appropriate specialists will be consulted, as required, in the development and delivery of site-specific sampling strategies. This may include, but is not limited to zooarchaeologist for animal bones, archaeobotanist for charred plant remains, archaeomalacologist for mollusc, geoarchaeologists for sedimentary deposits, archaeometallurgists for metalworking residues, metallurgical debris and metal artefacts and other specialists as appropriate. The scope of specialist input will be informed by the results of the archaeological works and will be proportionate to the nature significance and preservation of archaeological remains encountered.
- 7.10.7 Sampling strategies will consider features that do not contain artefactual evidence, such as isolated pits that may contain plant remains or lithics.
- 7.10.8 All environmental work will be undertaken in accordance with Historic England guidelines *Environmental Archaeology - A Guide to the Theory and Practice of Methods, from Sampling and Recovery to Post-excavation (third edition)* (Ref 51). Site specific sampling strategies will consider organic residue in line with Historic England guidance on *Organic Residue Analysis and Archaeology Guidance for Good Practice* (Ref 44~~).~~) and

[excavation, processing, assessment and analysis of animal bone in line with Animal Bones and Archaeology Recovery to archive \(Ref 47\)](#)

Scientific Dating

- 7.10.9 Where deposits of suitable character and preservation are encountered, provision will be made for the recovery of material suitable for scientific dating, if appropriate.
- 7.10.10 The sampling strategy will be undertaken in accordance with Section 8.10. SSWSI's will include a site-specific sampling strategy using specialist advice that considers scientific dating, where appropriate.
- 7.10.11 Particular attention will be given to the criteria for identifying suitable samples as specified in Section 3.2.2 of Historic England's Radiocarbon and Chronological Modelling: guidelines for good practice in the use of radiocarbon dating and chronological modelling in archaeology (Ref 48). [as well as Historic England's guidance on the Scientific Dating of Pleistocene Sites \(Ref 52\), should palaeolithic remains be encountered.](#)
- 7.10.12 All samples will be recovered, handled, stored and assessed using appropriate methodologies to determine their suitability for scientific dating and to maximise their scientific value and to contribute to the development of a robust chronological framework for the site.
- 7.10.13 Radiocarbon dating will be undertaken in accordance with Historic England's Radiocarbon and Chronological Modelling: guidelines for good practice in the use of radiocarbon dating and chronological modelling in archaeology (Ref 48).
- 7.10.14 If required, a suitably qualified specialist will attend the site and advise on a suitable sampling strategy in agreement with the Archaeological Advisor(s) to the relevant Local Planning Authority(s) and the Historic England science Advisor, as necessary.

7.11 Human Remains

- 7.11.1 The Ministry of Justice and the Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority will be informed if human remains are found. The contractor will comply with all statutory consents and licences under the Burial Act 1857 regarding the exhumation and interment of human remains.
- 7.11.2 If human remains are encountered, they will be cleaned with minimal disturbance, prior to recording and removal, following receipt of the required Ministry of Justice licence. The burials will only be lifted by, or under supervision of, suitably experienced specialist staff and in accordance with the Advisory Panel on the Archaeology of Burials in England (APABE) guidance *Science and the dead: Destructive Sampling*

of Archaeological Human Remains for Scientific Analysis (Ref 3) and *Guidance for Best Practice for the Treatment of Human Remains Excavated from Christian Burial Grounds in England (2nd Edition)* (Ref 4) and the *Updated Guidelines to the Standards for Recording Human Remains* (Ref 56). If required a qualified and experienced osteoarchaeologist will undertake site visits to discuss the preservation in situ and recording and assist in the later removal of any human skeletal remains and assessment of excavated human remains (Ref 46).

~~7.11.3 The archaeological contractor will comply with all reasonable requests of interested parties as to the method of removal, re interment, or disposal of the remains or associated items. Every effort will be made, at all times, not to cause offence to any interested parties.~~

7.11.3 The excavation and extraction of burials will be undertaken with consideration to the nature of the remains, in particular their form and state of preservation, and the soil surrounding them. Wet sieving may be required where remains are fragmentary, comprised of small bones or calcified fragments. Where appropriate infant remains and cremations should be block-lifted. In this instance excavation should be undertaken under controlled conditions in a laboratory or appropriate finds processing area. An appropriately experienced osteologist should be consulted as required to ensure the appropriate excavation, transportation, processing and analysis of any identified human remains.

7.11.4 If required, a qualified and experienced osteoarchaeologist will undertake site visits to discuss the recording and assist in the removal of any human skeletal remains.

~~7.11.47.11.5~~ If required, a qualified and experienced osteoarchaeologist will undertake site visits to discuss the recording and assist in the removal of any human skeletal remains.

7.12 Strategy Review

7.12.1 The strategy for the archaeological fieldwork will be held under continuous review and a final version will be developed prior to the commencement of construction.

7.12.2 If archaeological remains are identified of either a lesser or greater extent / significant than anticipated, this may be subject to change in scope following liaison with the Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority.

7.12.3 If a review of the strategy for archaeological fieldwork is required, it will be undertaken with consideration to any new information acquired from mitigation works, information attained during the archaeological evaluation stages of works and research aims and objectives.

7.12.4 Potential triggers for a review of the agreed mitigation strategy may be as a result of the identification of:

- archaeological remains of a greater or lesser significance to that anticipated
- archaeological remains of a substantially different character, date, extent or preservation to that identified during archaeological evaluation works
- archaeological remains that require substantially greater or lesser levels of sampling and recording than that anticipated
- unexpected deeply stratified, multiperiod or unusually well-preserved sequences that cannot be reasonably characterised through the agreed sampling strategy
- archaeological remains that have the potential to provide exceptional opportunities to address regional research themes
- Historic England will be consulted as required, where a strategy review is triggered.

7.12.37.12.5 Where areas of the Scheme have been shown to contain no archaeological remains following stages of archaeologically monitored top-soil stripping, or where specific areas of the Scheme have been fully archaeologically excavated, agreement will be sought with the Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority to allow for construction groundworks to proceed in these specific areas.

7.12.47.12.6 Should the strategy be considered unsuitable at any time by the appointed archaeological contractor, an alternative strategy will be proposed for agreement with the Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority.

7.13 Unexpectedly Significant or Complex Discoveries

7.13.1 Should unexpectedly extensive, complex or significant remains be uncovered that warrant, in the professional judgment of the archaeologists on site, more detailed recording or extensive excavation than is appropriate in the terms of this Outline AMS, the scope of the AMS will be reviewed.

8 Post-Excavation Assessment

8.1.1 Upon completion of the archaeological fieldwork, the finds, soil samples and stratigraphic information will be assessed for their potential and significance for further analysis.

- 8.1.2 An assessment report on the fieldwork will be produced within an agreed timetable following the completion of the fieldwork, which will inform the production of an Updated Project Design (UPD) detailing the methodology for the analysis and publication stage if necessary (see Section 9).

8.1.3 8.1.28.1.3 The level of reporting will be appropriate to the stage being delivered in line with relevant standards and guidance (see ClfA toolkits: Ref 17, Ref 19, Ref 20 and Ref 27 to Ref 30). Assessment reporting will be produced to a sufficient level to inform an UPD and subsequent analysis works.

8.2 Finds Processing

- 8.2.1 All finds will be treated in a proper manner during the post-excavation stage and to standards agreed in advance with the appropriate archives. Finds will be cleaned, conserved, marked, bagged and stored in accordance with the guidelines set out in the ClfA guidelines *Standard and Guidance for the collection, documentation, conservation and research of archaeological materials* (Ref 16).
- 8.2.2 In accordance with the procedures outlined in *Guidelines on the X-radiography of archaeological metalwork* (Ref 36), x-radiography will be undertaken on metalwork where required to identify the conservation baseline and clarify object morphology, which has been obscured by the process of deterioration / burial.
- 8.2.3 All material will be packed and stored in optimum conditions, as described in *First Aid for Finds* (~~Ref 54~~, Ref 35). Waterlogged organic materials will be dealt with in line with the Historic England guidance documents, *Waterlogged Wood. Guidance on the Excavation, Recording, Sampling and Conservation of Waterlogged Archaeological Wood* (Ref 50) and *A Guide to the Theory and Practice of Methods, from Sampling and Recovery to Post-excavation (third edition)* (Ref 51).
- 8.2.4 The finds assessment will be reported in the overall post-excavation assessment report and include proposals for full analysis to be incorporated into the UPD.
- 8.2.5 Finds for dating will be submitted to specialists promptly, so as to ensure that results are available to aid development of the UPD for the analysis stage.
- 8.2.6 For ceramic assemblages, recording will be carried out in a manner compatible with existing typological series in local pottery reference collections. Reporting on ceramic artefacts and pottery should follow the guidance given in ~~A Standard for Pottery Studies in Archaeology (Ref 6)~~ First Aid for Finds (INSERT) and endorsed by the Prehistoric Ceramics

Research Group, the Study Group for Roman Pottery, and the Medieval Pottery Research Group.

8.2.7 A suitable qualified specialist will identify any materials that has the potential for organic residues to be present. This includes, but is not limited to vessels associated with substance preparation, processing, storage, consumption and use. Where a potential has been identified the processing and assessment of any recovered organic residues will be undertaken within a laboratory in line with Historic England guidance on Organic Residue Analysis and Archaeology Guidance for Good Practice (Ref 44).

8.3 Environmental Sample Processing

8.3.1 The processing of all palaeoenvironmental samples will be undertaken in line with the requirements of the Historic England guidelines *Environmental Archaeology - A Guide to the Theory and Practice of Methods, from Sampling and Recovery to Post-excavation (third edition)* (Ref 51).

8.3.2 The samples will be processed, and ecofacts collected and assessed with regard to the potential for detailed analysis of pollen, charred plant macrofossils, land molluscs, faunal remains (including small mammals and fish) and soil micromorphology. Samples suitable for radiocarbon, or other dating methods, will also be identified in consultation with the appropriate specialist. The environmental assessment will be reported within the overall post-excavation assessment report and include proposals for full analysis to be incorporated into the UPD. Unprocessed sub-samples will be stored in conditions specified by the appropriate specialists.

8.3.3 Samples for dating will be submitted to specialists promptly, so as to ensure that results are available to aid development of the UPD for the analysis stage. Selection of samples for scientific dating will seek to address the Scheme-specific research objectives and any dating of samples will be undertaken in accordance with Historic England's *Radiocarbon Dating and Chronological Modelling: Guidelines for Good Practice* (Ref 48).

8.3.4 ~~The~~Where possible environmental sample processing and assessment of any recovered organic residue will be undertaken in ~~line~~in tandem with ~~Historic England guidance~~the programme of site investigation works and any initial results used to inform sampling strategies employed on ~~Organic Residue Analysis and Archaeology Guidance for Good Practice (Ref 36)-site.~~Organic Residue Analysis and Archaeology Guidance for Good Practice (Ref 36)-site.

8.4 Human Remains Processing

- 8.4.1 If discovered, human remains will be processed following national standards and guidance, including the *Role of the Human Osteologist in an Archaeological Fieldwork Project* guidance (Ref 46), *Updated Guidelines to the Standards for Recording Human Remains* (Ref 56), and *Guidance for Best Practice for the Treatment of Human Remains Excavated from Christian Burial Grounds in England* (2nd edition) (Ref 4). Processing will be undertaken by experienced specialists trained in the identification of human remains and who are familiar with delicate areas of the skeleton that need careful preservation, important areas required for an individual identification (e.g. age and sex), as well as potentially pathologically altered bones.
- 8.4.2 Where specialist processing may be required, for example where samples may be required for DNA analysis, specialist advice will be sought to minimise potential contamination. The human remains will be placed in breathable bags and labelled and boxed protected by polyethylene 3mm foam sheeting and in line with any specific archive requirements.
- 8.4.3 Cremation burials will be processed by removing the fill of the vessel in 5 to 10mm spits, recording the distribution and density of the bone per spit following guidance by Mitchell and Brickley (Ref 56). Fills will be wet sieved over a 1mm mesh with retrieval of burnt bone, pyre debris such as charcoal and botanical remains, and the remains air-dried and hand-sorted.

8.5 Conservation

- 8.5.1 If required at the assessment stage or earlier, conservation will be undertaken by approved conservators in line with the *First Aid for Finds* guidelines (Ref 68). Material considered vulnerable will be selected for stabilisation after specialist recording. Where intervention is necessary, consideration must be given to possible investigative procedures (e.g. glass composition studies, residues in or on pottery, ~~and~~ mineral-preserved organic material [and waterlogged remains](#)).

8.6 Assessment Report

- 8.6.1 The results of the fieldwork and post-excavation assessment stage will be presented in an integrated assessment report to allow an informed decision to be made on the future analysis and publication of the project.
- 8.6.2 As a minimum the assessment report shall contain the following information:
- A title page, with the name of the project, the name of the author(s) of the report, the title of the report and date of the report;

- A non-technical summary of the scope, methodology and results of the work;
- Introduction which includes site code/project number, planning reference number and dates when the fieldwork took place, grid reference;
- Description of the aims, methodology and extent of fieldwork completed;
- Factual assessments of stratigraphic, artefactual and environmental evidence;
- Factual assessment of stratigraphic evidence to include interpretation, covering phasing of the site sequence and integrating spot-dating of ceramics or other material;
- Factual assessment of the artefactual evidence, where applicable including inspection of X-radiographs of all iron objects, a selection of non-ferrous artefacts (including coins) and a sample of any industrial debris relating to metallurgy;
- Factual assessment of the environmental evidence;
- An assessment of the archaeological potential of the stratigraphic, artefactual and environmental records;
- Proposals for the selection of samples or sub-samples for further analysis and reporting;
- Identification of material suitable for scientific dating
- Identification of interim and long-term conservation and storage requirements;
- Updated Project Design (UPD) detailing proposed programme for analysis and publication;
- Proposed format for analysis reporting and publication of the results;
- Conclusions;
- Details of archive location and destination (with accession number(s)), together with a catalogue of what is contained in that archive;
- Copy of the OASIS entry form and any entry updates;
- Appendices, illustrations and figures, as appropriate; and
- References and bibliography of all sources used.

- 8.6.3 Copies of the draft assessment report will be provided in both MS Word and PDF formats and submitted to the Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority for comment.
- 8.6.4 All survey data will be provided in PDF/A format at a suitable scale, together with AutoCAD DWG files or Esri Shapefiles, as required.
- 8.6.5 A digital copy of the final assessment report will be provided to in PDF/A format to:
- The Applicants;
 - Wiltshire HER;
 - Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority for dissemination to the Local Planning Authority; and
 - Historic England Regional Science Advisor.
- 8.6.6 Digital copies of the final assessment report will also be submitted to OASIS and ADS to allow the results to be accessible on-line to the wider archaeological community and general public.
- 8.6.7 The assessment report will be used to inform the scope of UPD detailing the methodology for further analysis and dating of artefacts, soil samples and stratigraphic information. This will include a selection strategy in order to establish what records and finds will be retained as part of the final archaeological archive, in line with ClfA guidance (Ref 14).

9 Post-Excavation Analysis

- 9.1.1 The scope of work for the analysis stage will be detailed in the UPD and a detailed publication quality report produced following the results of the analysis as required.
- 9.1.2 The analysis stage will also draw on the results of all previous archaeological investigations within and adjacent to the Scheme, to produce a coherent and comprehensive record of the archaeological resource.
- 9.1.3 The following is provided as a guide to the potential content of the analysis report, but this will be reviewed within the UPD as necessary. As a minimum, the analysis report shall contain the following information:
- A title page, with the name of the project, the name of the author(s) of the report, the title of the report and date of the report;
 - A non-technical summary of the scope, methodology and results of the work;

- Introduction which includes site code/project number, planning reference number, dates when the fieldwork took place, grid reference;
- A description of, and a background to, the works and its aims and objectives;
- A description of the site location and the archaeological and historical context for the area;
- An account of the methods and results of the fieldwork, describing both structural data and associated finds and/or environmental data recovered;
- The results and interpretation of specialist analysis of stratigraphic records, artefacts, environmental and scientific samples, as necessary and based upon the requirements identified at the assessment stage and detailed in the UPD;
- An analysis of the archaeological significance of the deposits identified, in relation to other sites in the region;
- Details of archive selection strategy;
- Conclusions;
- Details of archive location and destination (with accession number) together with a catalogue of what is contained in that archive; and
- Appendices and figures, as appropriate, including a copy of the updated project design; and References and bibliography of all sources used.

9.1.4 Digital copies of the report will be provided in draft form in MS Word and PDF format to the Applicant and the Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority. Two iterations of the draft analysis report based on consultee and Applicants comments will be allowed for.

9.1.5 The appointed archaeological contractor shall rectify any defects and make any amendments as identified by Lanpro, the Applicants and the Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority and shall subsequently submit the final report within an agreed programme, following receipt of any comments.

9.1.6 Final copies of the analysis report (in PDF/A format) will be produced, and submitted to the following, together with all other digital information in industry standard formats as required:

- Wiltshire HER;

- Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority to distribute to the Local Planning Authority; and
- Historic England Regional Science Advisor.

- 9.1.7 Digital copies of the final analysis report and the digital archive will be submitted to OASIS and ADS to allow the results of the work to be accessible on-line to the wider archaeological community and general public.
- 9.1.8 The preparation of a publication report for an appropriate journal (or in another agreed form) will be required if the Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority considers the results significant enough to warrant dissemination to a wider audience.
- 9.1.9 Provision will be made for publicising the results of the work locally, e.g. by presenting a paper at Wiltshire's Festival of Archaeology, talking to local societies etc.

10 Decommissioning

- 10.1.1 A Decommissioning Strategy will be agreed with the Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority prior to decommissioning, which will be sufficient to safeguard any archaeological remains during the decommissioning phase. An **Outline Decommissioning Strategy [EN010168/APP/7.14]** has been prepared to support the Application.

11 Archiving and Data Management

11.1 Archive Content

- 11.1.1 The Archaeological Fieldwork Contractor will contact Wiltshire Museum Archive in advance of commencing any fieldwork to determine the preparation, and deposition of the archive and finds, and agree any additional accession numbers for all archaeological works.
- 11.1.2 The archive will be prepared in accordance with the ClfA guidelines detailed in Standard and guidance for the creation, compilation, transfer and deposition of archaeological archives (Ref 16).
- 11.1.3 The preparation of the archive will also be informed by the *Guidelines for the preparation of Excavation Archives for long-term storage* (Ref 67), Standards in the museum care of archaeological collections (Ref 58), and in accordance with Wiltshire Museum's deposition guidelines (Ref 69). Provision will be made for the stable storage of paper records and their long-term storage.
- 11.1.4 The landowner will be encouraged to transfer ownership of the finds to the relevant archive. The archive will be presented to Wiltshire Museum within

six months of completion of all [fieldwork stages of post-excavation works](#), unless alternative arrangements have been agreed in writing with [Wiltshire Council \(WC\)](#), the relevant local authority.

- 11.1.5 Adequate resources will be provided during fieldwork to ensure that all records are checked and internally consistent. Archive consolidation will be undertaken immediately following the conclusion of fieldwork and will include the following work:
- the site record will be checked, cross-referenced and indexed as necessary;
 - all retained finds will be cleaned, conserved, marked and packaged in accordance with the requirements of the relevant Wiltshire Museum;
 - all retained finds will be assessed and recorded using pro forma recording sheets, by suitably qualified and experienced staff. Initial artefact dating will be integrated within the site matrix; and
 - all retained environmental samples will be processed by suitably experienced and qualified staff.
- 11.1.6 The archive will consist of paper records and digital data, as well as finds and samples as selected. Not all material collected or created during the course of the works will require preservation in perpetuity, and the final contents of the archive will be subject to selection prior to the accession of the archive to the Wiltshire Museum, in line with a Selection Strategy agreed with IGP and WC.
- 11.1.7 The selected contents of the archive will be appropriate to establish the significance of the results of the project and support future research, outreach, engagement, display and learning activities. Selection will be focused on selecting what is to be retained to support these future needs. Methods for disposing of de-selected material will be agreed with the landowner and other relevant stakeholders.
- 11.1.8 A copy of the digital archive will be submitted to WC on completion of all work, for integration into the HER.
- 11.1.9 An OASIS form will be completed for the project and an electronic copy of the final report and the digital archive deposited with the Archaeology Data Service (ADS).

11.2 Data Management

- 11.2.1 [The first SSWSI will develop a scheme-wide Data Management Plan \(DMP\). This scheme-wide DMP will be appended to all subsequent SSWSIs. The DMP](#) will be created and managed by the appointed

archaeological contractor on commencement of the project, which will outline the strategy for the sharing and preservation of the project's digital data.

- 11.2.2 The Data Management Plan will be produced in line with ClfA standards ~~(2020e)~~(Ref 16 and Ref 19) and guidance produced by the ADS (Ref 1), and will include;
- Details of data that will be generated during the work;
 - Type of file formats to be used (e.g. .doc, .pdf., .dwg., .shp, etc.);
 - Methods of data collection or capture (e.g. GPS/Total Station/digitising from hard copies);
 - File naming conventions (e.g. ADS naming conventions);
 - Metadata, standards and quality assurance measures;
 - Plans for sharing data;
 - Ethical and legal issues or restrictions on data sharing (e.g client confidentiality etc.);
 - Copyright and intellectual property rights of data;
 - Data storage and back-up measures;
 - Data management roles and responsibilities; and
 - Costing or resources needed (ADS archiving costs etc.).
- 11.2.3 The digital archive will be produced using industry standard file formats, with a clear file structure that allows these to be easily shared with all stakeholders, and allows the data to continue to be preserved and shared with the public through, for example, the HER.
- 11.2.4 Standardised approaches to archaeological recording, sampling, finds processing, environmental sampling and archive preparation will be implemented across the Scheme to ensure consistency, comparability and integration of datasets generated through different stages of the archaeological mitigation works.
- 11.2.5 The data comprising the digital archive will comply with the English Heritage (now Historic England) guidance on historic environment data standards, Monument Inventory Data Standard (MIDAS) Heritage; the UK Historic Environment Data Standard (Ref 38).
- 11.2.6 It is anticipated that the repositories to which the digital archive are submitted (i.e. HER/Wiltshire Museum) will have in-house Data Management Plans to allow for the long-term preservation of the digital

archive data, including plans for data back-up and migration to new digital formats as these emerge.

12 Public Outreach and Engagement

- 12.1.1 It is recognised that community engagement both fosters public understanding and support for the historic environment and adds value to archaeological work.
- 12.1.2 A programme of public outreach and engagement will be developed during the archaeological mitigation and post-excavation stages of the project, depending on the character and form of any archaeological remains encountered, in liaison with the Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority, Historic England and/or any other interested community groups.
- 12.1.3 The programme of public outreach and engagement could include, for example, provision of talks and presentations, guided walks, arranging conferences, exhibitions, open days and living history events, providing school project work and learning resources, offering work experience and volunteering opportunities, and supporting community archaeology projects.

13 Staffing

- 13.1.1 A suitably qualified and experienced ~~archaeological clerk of works~~[ACOW](#) will be responsible for overseeing the archaeological mitigation works as detailed in the AMS.
- 13.1.2 All archaeological fieldwork and post-excavation works will be undertaken by a suitably qualified and experienced professional archaeological contractor, that will adhere to the ClfA Code of Conduct and all appropriate standards and guidance.
- 13.1.3 Details of the CVs of key personnel and specialists will be provided to the Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority in advance of the commencement of fieldwork, following appointment of the archaeological contractor. The appointed archaeological contractor's Project Manager for the project must be able to demonstrate competence and experience of managing archaeological projects of a similar size, nature and complexity.
- 13.1.4 Assessment and analysis of finds, environmental samples and human remains will be undertaken by suitably qualified and experienced specialists.

14 Monitoring

14.1.1 The ACOW will be responsible for overseeing the day to day delivery of the programme of archaeological mitigation and monitoring compliance with the archaeological requirements of the DCO, the AMS and supporting SSWSIs, as well as appropriate policy, standards and guidance. The ACOW will monitor the performance and progress of the Archaeological Fieldwork Contractor. They will identify and document any archaeological issues arising during the works, keeping appropriate records of compliance and progress. Where issues arise, the ACOW will facilitate their resolution.

14.1.2 The Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority will monitor the implementation of the archaeological mitigation works and evaluate the scope and progress of the work against the methodology detailed in the AMS.

15 Communication

15.1.1 The ACOW will act as the principal point of contact between the various stake holders (i.e. Developer, Development Works Contractors, Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority, Historic England and the Archaeological Fieldwork Contractor). The appointed archaeological contractor will provide at least weekly updates to the ACOW via email and/or telephone. Any issues that arise on site or during the post-excavation stages should first be addressed by the archaeological contractor directly to the ACOW, who will then liaise with the Applicant, Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority and any other stakeholders in order to resolve the matter.

15.1.2 In the event of issues arising regarding the implementation of this AMS, or the scope or methodology of the excavation, these will be resolved in the first instance by contacting the ACOW who will liaise with the Applicant and Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority to determine a solution. Should the issue not be resolved remotely a meeting will be held between key stakeholders to facilitate discussion of the issues and identification of a suitable strategy for progress to be agreed by all parties.

16 Project Timetable

16.1.1 A timetable for the programme of archaeological mitigation fieldwork and post-excavation assessment reporting will be agreed between the appointed archaeological contractor, the Applicant, and the Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority prior to the

commencement of fieldwork. The appointed archaeological contractor will ensure they have the required capacity to deliver the works.

~~14.1.2~~ 16.1.2 The Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority will be informed of the proposed start date for the project as soon as practicable, and at least one week before commencement of fieldwork.

~~14.1.3~~ 16.1.3 The full programme of archaeological mitigation will be established following the trial trenching.

~~14.1.4~~ 16.1.4 The appointed archaeological contractor will provide at least weekly progress reports on the progress of fieldwork via email to Lanpro, and regular site meetings will be held between the archaeological contractor, Lanpro, the principal contractor, the Applicant and the Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority.

~~14.1.5~~ 16.1.5 A draft assessment report will be provided to the Applicant and the Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority and Historic England within an agreed timeframe following completion of fieldwork, with a final version to be submitted to the Applicant and the Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority following receipt of any comments within the agreed timeframe. SSWSI will include an indicative programme for post excavation works including the production of assessment reports.

~~14.1.6~~ 16.1.6 A draft analysis report will be submitted to Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority and Historic England within a programme agreed in the UPD, informed by the results of the post-excavation assessment. This will be followed by a final report following any comments, and the publication of the results of the report in a suitable format.

~~15~~ Monitoring

~~15.1.11.1.1~~ The Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority will monitor the implementation of the archaeological mitigation works and evaluate the scope and progress of the work against the methodology detailed in the AMS.

~~16~~ Communication

~~16.1.1~~ The appointed archaeological contractor will provide at least weekly updates to Lanpro via email and/or telephone. Any issues that arise on site or during the post excavation stages should first be addressed by the archaeological contractor directly to Lanpro, who will then liaise with the Applicant, Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority and any other stakeholders in order to resolve the matter.

~~16.1.21.1.1 In the event of issues arising regarding the implementation of this Outline AMS, or the scope or methodology of the excavation, these will be resolved in the first instance by contacting Lanpro who will liaise with the Applicant and Archaeological Advisor to the relevant Local Planning Authority to determine a solution. Should the issue not be resolved remotely a meeting will be held between key stakeholders to facilitate discussion of the issues and identification of a suitable strategy for progress to be agreed by all parties.~~

17 Copyright and Publicity

- 17.1.1 Copyright of the documentation prepared by the appointed archaeological contractor and specialist sub-contractors should be the subject of additional licences in favour of the Applicant, Lanpro, and the appropriate HER to use such documentation for their commercial, statutory or educational functions, and to provide copies to third parties.
- 17.1.2 Under the *Environmental Information Regulations* (Ref 34), information submitted to the HER becomes publicly accessible, except where disclosure might lead to environmental damage, and reports cannot be embargoed as 'confidential' or 'commercially sensitive'.
- 17.1.3 It is recognised that the Scheme may identify remains which are of interest to the public and these may be publicised through appropriate media. Any publicity for the Scheme proposed by the archaeological contractor should be approved by the Applicant. The appointed contractor will not issue any information on the work through media, internet or social media without prior agreement of the Applicant.
- 17.1.4 Care will be taken to ensure that any publicity does not compromise the security of archaeological remains that may have been identified or recovered.

18 Insurance and Health and Safety

18.1 Insurance

- 18.1.1 The appointed archaeological contractor will hold Employers Liability Insurance, Public Liability Insurance and Professional Indemnity Insurance to at least the following amounts:

- Public Liability £10,000,000
- Employer's Liability £5,000,000
- Professional indemnity (for any single claim) £10,000,000

18.2 Health and Safety

- 18.2.1 The management of all health and safety, and welfare provision, on site during the excavation phase will be the responsibility of the principal contractor or the appointed archaeological contractor, depending on the stage and nature of the work being undertaken.
- 18.2.2 All works will be undertaken by the principal contractor or appointed archaeological contractor in compliance with the Health and Safety at Work Act (1974) and all applicable regulations and Codes of Practice.
- 18.2.3 All archaeological staff will undertake their operations in accordance with safe working practices. At least one First Aider will be present on site at all times.
- 18.2.4 A site-specific risk assessment and method statement (RAMS) will be produced by the appointed archaeological contractor, prior to the commencement of work on site.
- 18.2.5 Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) will be provided to all staff by the archaeological contractor, including hi-visibility coats/vests, hard hats, safety boots and gloves, as well as safety glasses if required.
- 18.2.6 All staff will receive a health and safety induction prior to starting work on site to be provided by the principal contractor and/or the appointed archaeological contractor.
- 18.2.7 Regular audits of health and safety practices will be carried out during the course of the project by the archaeological contractor in consultation with the site workforce.
- 18.2.8 Toolbox talks on health and safety issues will be conducted at minimum weekly intervals and/or after changes in working practices or identification of new threats/risks. The risk assessment will be updated and control measures will be implemented as required in response to specific hazards.
- 18.2.9 Safe working will take priority over the desire to record archaeological features or remains, and where it is considered that recording is dangerous, any such features will be recorded by photography at a safe distance.
- 18.2.10 All areas of excavation will be scanned with a Cable Avoidance Tool (CAT) prior to ground works commencing. Necessary measures will be taken to avoid disturbing any services.
- 18.2.11 Where open excavations are left unattended overnight, these will be surrounded by suitable safety / security fencing, to be fitted with suitable warning signage. The responsibility for site security / safety fencing will lie with the lead contractor on site (i.e. either the Principal Contractor or the

appointed archaeological contractor, depending on the nature and stage of work).

- 18.2.12 Plant operators will be required to produce evidence of qualification within an industry accepted registration scheme. Sub-Contractors health and safety performance will be kept under review and action taken if necessary.
- 18.2.13 All spoil will be stored and managed safely in line with the standards of the Construction Code of Practice for Sustainable Use of Soils on Construction Sites (Ref 33).

19 References

- Ref 1 ADS 2014, 'Data Management and Sharing Plans', v. 2 (last accessed 24th July 2026)
- Ref 2 Allen, M., Blick, N., Brindle, T., Evans, T., Fulford, M., Holbrook, N., Lodwick, L., Richards, J, D., Smith, A. 2015, The Rural Settlement of Roman Britain: an online resource <https://doi.org/10.5284/1030449> (last accessed 24th July 2026)
- Ref 3 APABE and Historic England 2023, Science and the dead: Destructive Sampling of Archaeological Human Remains for Scientific Analysis
- Ref 4 APABE and Historic England 2017, Guidance for Best Practice for the Treatment of Human Remains Excavated from Christian Burial Grounds in England (2nd edition), Advisory Panel on the Archaeology of Burials and England (APABE) and Historic England
- Ref 5 ASWYAS 2025, Lime Down Solar Park, Wiltshire: Geophysical Survey. Report ref: 4313
- ~~Ref 6 Barclay, A., Knight, D., Booth P. and Evans, J. 2016, A Standard for Pottery Studies in Archaeology~~
- [Ref 6 ASWYAS 2026, Lime Down Solar Park Cable Route Corridor Wiltshire. Report re: 4362](#)
- Ref 7 BGS 2026, British Geological Survey, <https://www.bgs.ac.uk/map-viewers/bgs-geology-viewer/> (last accessed 24th July 2026)
- Ref 8 BRE 2013, Planning guidance for the development of large-scale ground mounted solar PV systems, Building Research Establishment Trust
- Ref 9 CFA 2026a, 'Lime Down Solar Park Lime Down A Wiltshire Archaeological Evaluation Trial Trenching', report no. 4833
- Ref 10 CFA 2026b, 'Lime Down Solar Park Lime Down B Wiltshire Archaeological Evaluation Trial Trenching', report no. 4835
- Ref 11 CFA 2026c, 'Lime Down Solar Park Lime Down C Wiltshire Archaeological Evaluation Trial Trenching', report no. 4836
- Ref 12 CFA 2026d, 'Lime Down Solar Park Lime Down D Wiltshire Archaeological Evaluation Trial Trenching', report no. 4831
- Ref 13 CFA 2026e, 'Lime Down Solar Park Lime Down E Wiltshire Archaeological Evaluation Trial Trenching', report no. 4834
- Ref 14 ClfA 2020a, Standard and guidance for archaeological geophysical survey

Ref 15 ClfA 2020b, Standard and guidance for the creation, compilation, transfer and deposition of archaeological archives

Ref 16 ClfA 2020c, Standard and Guidance for the collection, documentation, conservation and research of archaeological materials

[Ref 17 ClfA 2022, 2021 Toolkit for Specialist Reporting. Version 1.1,](#)

[Ref 17Ref 18 ClfA 2022a,](#) Code of Conduct

[Ref 19 ClfA. 2022b Toolkit for Selecting Archaeological Archives. Chartered Institute for Archaeologists.](#)

[Ref 20 ClfA. 2022c Toolkit for Recording Archaeological Materials. Version 1.0](#)

[Ref 18Ref 21 ClfA 2023a,](#) Standard for archaeological field evaluation

[Ref 19Ref 22 ClfA 2023b,](#) Universal guidance for archaeological field evaluation

[Ref 20Ref 23 ClfA 2023c,](#) Standard for archaeological monitoring and recording

[Ref 21Ref 24 ClfA 2023d,](#) Universal guidance for archaeological monitoring and recording

[Ref 22Ref 25 ClfA 2023e,](#) Standard for guidance for archaeological excavation

[Ref 23Ref 26 ClfA 2023f,](#) Universal guidance for archaeological excavation

[Ref 27 ClfA. 2023g Toolkit for Public Engagement. Version 1.0](#)

[Ref 28 ClfA. 2024a Toolkit for Finds: Pottery. Version 1.0](#)

[Ref 29 ClfA. 2024b Toolkit for Managing Digital Data \(Dig Digital\). Version 1.2,](#)

[Ref 30 ClfA. 2025 Toolkit for Finds Reporting: Roman Coinage. Version](#)

[Ref 24Ref 31 Cranfield University 2025. The Soils Guide. Available: www.landis.org.uk. Cranfield University, UK.](#)

[Ref 25Ref 32 Deegan, A. 2025, Air photo and LiDAR mapping and interpretation: Lime Down Solar Park, project no. 2425004](#)

[Ref 26Ref 33 DEFRA 2009, Construction Code of Practice for Sustainable Use of Soils on Construction Sites](#)

[Ref 27Ref 34 EIR 2004, Environmental Information Regulations](#)

[Ref 35 Emmerson, N., Spriggs, J., Watkins-Kenney, S. and Watkinson, D. 2026, First Aid for Finds, https://www.firstaidforfinds.org, \(last accessed 21.08.26\)](#)

[Ref 28Ref 36 English Heritage, 2006. Guidelines on the X-radiography of archaeological metalwork](#)

- ~~Ref 29~~Ref 37 English Heritage 2008, Management of Research Projects in the Historic Environment (MoRPHE). PPN 3: Archaeological Excavation
- ~~Ref 30~~Ref 38 English Heritage 2012, MIDAS Heritage; the UK Historic Environment Data Standard
- ~~Ref 34~~Ref 39 Historic England, 2015a, Management of Research Projects in the Historic Environment. The MoRPHE Project Managers' Guide
- ~~Ref 32~~Ref 40 Historic England 2015b, Digital Image Capture and File Storage. Guidelines for Best Practice
- ~~Ref 33~~Ref 41 Historic England 2015c, Archaeometallurgy Guidelines for Best Practice
- ~~Ref 34~~Ref 42 Historic England 2016, Research Strategy
- ~~Ref 35~~Ref 43 Historic England 2017, Research Agenda
- ~~Ref 36~~Ref 44 Historic England 2017b, Organic Residue Analysis and Archaeology Guidance for Good Practice
- ~~Ref 37~~Ref 45 Historic England 2018a, Our Portable Past. Guidance for Good Practice
- ~~Ref 38~~Ref 46 Historic England 2018b, The Role of the Human Osteologist in an Archaeological Fieldwork Project
- Ref 47 Historic England, 2019, *Animal Bones and Archaeology: Recovery to Archive*. Historic England Handbooks for Archaeology.
- ~~Ref 39~~Ref 48 Historic England 2022, Radiocarbon and Chronological Modelling: guidelines for good practice in the use of radiocarbon dating and chronological modelling in archaeology
- ~~Ref 49~~ ~~Historic England 2025~~Historic England 2024, Managing Lithic Sites: Archaeological Guidance for Commercial and Research Projects, Planning Authorities, Land Management Agencies and Developers
- ~~Ref 40~~Ref 50 Historic England 2025a, Waterlogged Wood Guidance on the Excavation, Recording, Sampling and Conservation of Waterlogged Archaeological Wood
- ~~Ref 44~~Ref 51 Historic England 2025b, A Guide to the Theory and Practice of Methods, from Sampling and Recovery to Post-excavation (third edition)
- Ref 52 Historic England 2025c, Scientific Dating of Pleistocene Sites
- ~~Ref 42~~Ref 53 Lanpro 2025a. Archaeological Desk Based Assessment: Lime Down Solar Park (Solar Sites).

~~Ref 43~~~~Ref 54~~ Lanpro 2025b. Archaeological Desk Based Assessment: Lime Down Solar Park (Cable Route).

~~Ref 55~~ [Lime Down Solar Park, 2026, Mitigation Route Map](#)

~~Ref 44~~~~Ref 56~~ Mitchell, P.D. and Brickley, M. 2017, Updated Guidelines to the Standards for Recording Human Remains, Chartered Institute for Archaeologists

~~Ref 45~~~~Ref 57~~ MOLAS 1994, Archaeological Field Manual

~~Ref 46~~~~Ref 58~~ Museums and Galleries Commission 1994, Standards in the museum care of archaeological collections

~~Ref 47~~~~Ref 59~~ NPPF 2026, National Planning Policy Framework (revised August 2026)

~~Ref 48~~~~Ref 60~~ NPS 2024a, Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1). Available from: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/overarching-national-policy-statement-for-energy-en-1>

~~Ref 61~~ [Roberts, D and Marshall, P. 2020 *Pit Digging and Lifeways in Neolithic Wiltshire*. Historic England Research Report Series 34/2019, Portsmouth: Historic England](#)

~~Ref 62~~ [Roberts, D, Barclay, A, Bishop, B, Bronk-Ramsey, C, Campbell, G, Canti, M, Dobie, J, Dunbar, E, Dunne, J, Evershed, R P, Forward, A, Last, J, Lamb, S, Linford, N, Linford, P, Linscott, B, Madgwick, R, Marshall, P, Mays, S, McParland, H, Payne, A, Pelling, R, Pike, A, Price, K, Quinn, P, Radini, A, Reimer, P, Russell, M, Seager Smith, R, Soutar, S, Speller, C, Vallender, J, Valdez-Tullett, A, Van Heekeren, V and Worley, F. 2020 'Middle Neolithic pits and a burial at West Amesbury, Wiltshire'. *Archaeological Journal* 177\(2\), 167–213.](#)

~~Ref 49~~~~Ref 63~~ Schmidt, A, Linford, P, Linford, N, David, A, Gaffney, C, Sarris, A and Fassbinder, J. 2015 Guidelines for the use of geophysics in archaeology: questions to ask and points to consider. EAC Guidelines 2, Belgium: European Archaeological Council

~~Ref 50~~~~Ref 64~~ SWARF 2025, South West England Historic Environment Research Framework, online resource, <https://researchframeworks.org/swarf/>, (last accessed 07 April 2025)

~~Ref 54~~~~Ref 65~~ UK Parliament 1996, *Treasure Act 1996*, online resource, <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1996/24/contents> (last accessed 07 April 2025)

~~Ref 52~~Ref 66 UK Government 2002, *The Treasure (Designation) Order 2002*, online resource, <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukxi/2002/2666/contents/made> (last accessed 07 April 2025)

~~Ref 53~~Ref 67 United Kingdom Institute for Conservation 1990, *Guidelines for the preparation of archives for long-term storage*. London

~~Ref 54~~Ref 68 Watkinson, D. And Neal, V. 1998, *First Aid for Finds*

~~Ref 55~~Ref 69 Wiltshire Museum 2024, *Collection Development Policy* https://www.wiltshiremuseum.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/Collections-Development-Policy_Wiltshire-Museum_2020-25.pdf (last accessed 24th July 2026)


~~Ref 56~~ ~~Historic England 2022, *Radiocarbon Dating and Chronological Modelling: Guidelines for Good Practice*~~

~~Ref 57~~ ~~Historic England 2025, *A Guide to the Theory and Practice of Methods, from Sampling and Recovery to Post excavation (third edition)*~~

Ref 70 Wiltshire Council Archaeology Service 2025, *Standards and Guidance for Archaeological Assessment and Fieldwork in Wiltshire and Swindon Borough*

Ref 71 Worley, F, Madgwick, R, Pelling, R, Marshall, P, Evans, J A, Lamb, A L, López-Dóriga, I L, Bronk Ramsey, C, Dunbar, E, Reimer, P, Vallender, J and Roberts, D. 2019 'Understanding Middle Neolithic food and farming in and around the Stonehenge World Heritage Site: An integrated approach'. *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports* 26, 101838.

Figures




Title:
Figure 1. General location of proposed archaeological mitigation areas


Document:
Volume 3
Archaeological Mitigation Strategy
Environmental Statement (ES)


- Legend:
- Order Limits
 - Archaeological Mitigation Area

Data: © Lanpro, 2026; IGP, 2026
Base Maps: © Crown copyright and database rights 2026. Ordnance Survey
AC0000862729



Co-ordinate system: OSGB36 / British National Grid
Scale: 1:75000 @ A3






Title:
Figure 2. Proposed archaeological mitigation areas within
Lime Down A and cable route


Document:
Volume 3
Archaeological Mitigation Strategy
Environmental Statement (ES)


- Legend:
- Order Limits
 - Mitigation
 - In situ preservation (no solar development)
 - In situ preservation (non-intrusive construction methodology)
 - AP and LIDAR Survey Features:
 - Bank (Earthwork)
 - Ditch
 - Geophysical Survey Features:
 - Archaeological anomaly
 - Possible archaeological anomaly
 - Uncertain archaeological anomaly

Data: © Lanpro, 2026; IGP, 2026
Base Maps: © Crown copyright and database rights 2026. Ordnance Survey
AC0000862729



Co-ordinate system: OSGB36 / British National Grid
Scale: 1:8000 @ A3





Title:
Figure 3. Proposed archaeological mitigation areas within
Lime Down B and cable route


Document:
Volume 3
Archaeological Mitigation Strategy
Environmental Statement (ES)

- Legend:
- Order Limits
 - Cable Route Corridor - Mitigation Area
 - Mitigation
 - In situ preservation (no solar development)
 - In situ preservation (non-intrusive construction methodology)
 - Strip, Map and Sample
 - AP and LIDAR Survey Features:
 - Bank (earthwork)
 - Ditch
 - Geophysical Survey Features:
 - Archaeological anomaly
 - Possible archaeological anomaly
 - Uncertain archaeological anomaly

Data: © Lanpro, 2026; IGP, 2026
Base Maps: © Crown copyright and database rights 2026. Ordnance Survey
AC0000862729



Co-ordinate system: OSGB36 / British National Grid
Scale: 1:8000 @ A3
0 80 160 240 320 m




Title:
Figure 4. Proposed archaeological mitigation areas within
Lime Down C and cable route


Document:
Volume 3
Archaeological Mitigation Strategy
Environmental Statement (ES)

- Legend:
- Order Limits
 - Cable Route Corridor - Mitigation Area
- Mitigation
- In situ preservation
(no solar development)
 - In situ preservation
(non-intrusive construction methodology)
 - Strip, Map and Sample
- AP and LIDAR Survey Features:
- Bank (earthwork)
 - Ditch
- Geophysical Survey Features:
- Archaeological anomaly
 - Possible archaeological anomaly
 - Uncertain archaeological anomaly

Data: © Lanpro, 2026; IGP, 2026
Base Maps: © Crown copyright and database rights 2026, Ordnance Survey
AC0000862729



Co-ordinate system: OSGB36 / British National Grid
Scale: 1:12000 @ A3




Title:
Figure 5. Proposed archaeological mitigation areas within
Lime Down D and cable route


Document:
Volume 3
Archaeological Mitigation Strategy
Environmental Statement (ES)

- Legend:
- Order Limits
 - Cable Route Corridor - Mitigation Area
- Mitigation
- In situ preservation (no solar development)
 - In situ preservation (non-intrusive construction methodology)
 - Strip, Map and Sample
- AP and LIDAR Survey Features:
- Bank (earthwork)
 - Ditch
- Geophysical Survey Features:
- Archaeological anomaly
 - Possible archaeological anomaly
 - Uncertain archaeological anomaly

Data: © Lanpro, 2026; IGP, 2026
Base Maps: © Crown copyright and database rights 2026, Ordnance Survey
AC0000862729



Co-ordinate system: OSGB36 / British National Grid
Scale: 1:12000 @ A3
0 80 160 240 320 m




Title:
Figure 6. Proposed archaeological mitigation areas within
Lime Down E and cable route


Document:
Volume 3
Archaeological Mitigation Strategy
Environmental Statement (ES)


- Legend:
- Order Limits
 - Cable Route Corridor - Mitigation Area
- Mitigation
- In situ preservation
(non-intrusive construction methodology)
 - Re-instatement of earthworks
following construction
- AP and LIDAR Survey Features:
- Bank (earthwork)
 - Ditch
- Geophysical Survey Features:
- Archaeological anomaly
 - Possible archaeological anomaly
 - Uncertain archaeological anomaly

Data: © Lanpro, 2026; IGP, 2026
Base Maps: © Crown copyright and database rights 2026. Ordnance Survey
AC0000862729



Co-ordinate system: OSGB36 / British National Grid
Scale: 1:9000 @ A3





Title:
Figure 7. Proposed cable route mitigation areas B5-01, O48-01, F121-01, F123-01 and F135-01


Document:
Volume 3
Archaeological Mitigation Strategy
Environmental Statement (ES)

- Legend:
- Order Limits
 - Mitigation
 - Strip, Map and Sample
 - Geophysics
 - Geophysical Survey Features:
 - Archaeological anomaly
 - Possible archaeological anomaly
 - Uncertain archaeological anomaly

Data: © Lanpro, 2026; IGP, 2026
Base Maps: © Crown copyright and database rights 2026. Ordnance Survey
AC0000862729



Co-ordinate system: OSGB36 / British National Grid
Scale: 1:11000 @ A3



Title:
Figure 8. Proposed cable route mitigation areas F153-01, F154-01 and F163-01

Document:
Volume 3
Archaeological Mitigation Strategy
Environmental Statement (ES)

- Legend:
- Order Limits
 - Mitigation**
 - Strip, Map and Sample
 - Geophysical Survey Features:**
 - Archaeological anomaly
 - Possible archaeological anomaly
 - Uncertain archaeological anomaly


Data: © Lanpro, 2026; IGP, 2026
Base Maps: © Crown copyright and database rights 2026. Ordnance Survey
AC0000862729



Co-ordinate system: OSGB36 / British National Grid
Scale: 1:11000 @ A3

384000

388000



180000




Title:
Figure 9. Proposed cable route mitigation areas F120-01, F116-01, F114-01, F113-01, F106-01, F102-01 and F96-01

Document:
Volume 3
Archaeological Mitigation Strategy
Environmental Statement (ES)

- Legend:
- Order Limits
 - Mitigation**
 - Strip, Map and Sample
 - Directional Drilling or Strip, Map and Sample
 - Geophysics
 - Geophysical Survey Features:**
 - Archaeological anomaly
 - Possible archaeological anomaly
 - Uncertain archaeological anomaly


Data: © Lanpro, 2026; IGP, 2026
Base Maps: © Crown copyright and database rights 2026. Ordnance Survey
AC0000862729



Co-ordinate system: OSGB36 / British National Grid
Scale: 1:18000 @ A3

0 80 160 240 320 m





Title:
Figure 10. Proposed cable route mitigation areas F85-01, F80-01, F78-01, F71-01 and F68-01

Document:
Volume 3
Archaeological Mitigation Strategy
Environmental Statement (ES)

- Legend:
- Order Limits
 - Mitigation
 - Strip, Map and Sample
 - Geophysics
 - Geophysical Survey Features:
 - Archaeological anomaly
 - Possible archaeological anomaly
 - Uncertain archaeological anomaly

Data: © Lanpro, 2026; IGP, 2026
Base Maps: © Crown copyright and database rights 2026. Ordnance Survey
AC0000862729



Co-ordinate system: OSGB36 / British National Grid
Scale: 1:12000 @ A3
0 80 160 240 320 m

388000

172000




Title:
Figure 11. Proposed cable route mitigation areas F66-01,
F64-01, F58-01, F55-01, F46-01, F37-01, F35-01, F34-01
and F34-02

Document:
Volume 3
Archaeological Mitigation Strategy
Environmental Statement (ES)

- Legend:
- Order Limits
 - Mitigation**
 - Strip, Map and Sample
 - Directional Drilling or Strip, Map and Sample
 - Geophysical Survey Features:**
 - Archaeological anomaly
 - Possible archaeological anomaly
 - Uncertain archaeological anomaly


Data: © Lanpro, 2026; IGP, 2026
Base Maps: © Crown copyright and database rights 2026. Ordnance Survey
AC0000862729



Co-ordinate system: OSGB36 / British National Grid
Scale: 1:12000 @ A3

168000

388000




Title:
Figure 12. Proposed cable route mitigation areas F25-01, F23-01, F22-01 and F5-01

Document:
Volume 3
Archaeological Mitigation Strategy
Environmental Statement (ES)

- Legend:
- Order Limits
 - Mitigation**
 - Strip, Map and Sample
 - Re-instatement of earthworks following construction
 - Geophysical Survey Features:**
 - Archaeological anomaly
 - Possible archaeological anomaly
 - Uncertain archaeological anomaly

Data: © Lanpro, 2026; IGP, 2026
Base Maps: © Crown copyright and database rights 2026, Ordnance Survey
AC0000862729



Co-ordinate system: OSGB36 / British National Grid
Scale: 1:12000 @ A3

